## Computer Arithmetic:

## Are there any limits?
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## Why are there limits?

- Fundamental issues:

Time: Carry propagation, Leading One Detection, Sticky bit, Steps of FP add,... (sequential $\rightarrow$ parallel)
Power: Is there really any fundamental minimum amount of energy for computation? (The answer is 'no', the practical answer is 'yes'.)

- Practical issues:

Time: Switching speed of the transistors, capacitance and resistance of the wires, maximum fan-in for gates, ...
Area: How large are the chips that we can currently fabricate? (Price, yield, mechanical issues, ...)
Power: Can the package dissipate that much heat? Can the source supply that much power?

Time indicates how quickly the operation executes.

Area (gate count) indicates how large the circuit is. This translates into initial cost in design, managing the complexity, testing, and fabrication.

Power represents the running cost to operate the circuit and to cool it.

The three are linked and the figure of merit is

$$
\text { merit }=T^{a} A^{b} P^{c}
$$

## The Residue Number System

RNS uses relatively prime positional bases, for example: $(2,3,5)$ and (4,5, 7,9 ).

Any number is represented by its residues after dividing the number by the base.

Example 1 To convert the decimal number 29 to a residue number with the bases $5,3,2$, we compute:
$R_{5}=29 \bmod _{5}=4$
$R_{3}=29 \bmod _{3}=2$
$R_{2}=29 \bmod _{2}=1$
and say that the decimal number 29 is represented by $[4,2,1]$.
The Chinese Remainder Theorem ensures that each number less than $(5 \times 3 \times 2)$ has a unique representation.

There are no carries between columns in addition and multiplication.

Remember the properties of modular arithmetic: if $N^{\prime}=N \bmod _{\mu}$ and $M^{\prime}=M \bmod _{\mu}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(N+M) \bmod _{\mu} & =\left(N^{\prime}+M^{\prime}\right) \bmod _{\mu} \\
(N-M) \bmod _{\mu} & =\left(N^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right) \bmod _{\mu} \\
(N \times M) \bmod _{\mu} & =\left(N^{\prime} \times M^{\prime}\right) \bmod _{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

Arithmetic is closed (done completely) within each residue position.

For subtraction we use complement coding so that $X^{c}=\left[x_{i}^{c}\right]$.

## Selection of the Moduli

- Considerations:
- Relatively prime
- Minimise the largest modulus
- Efficient in their binary representation: using $n$ bits, are we representing almost $2^{n}$ numbers? $(5,3,2)$ and $(8,7)$
- Compatibility with binary ALUs.
- Two systems:

Optimal: minimizes the largest modulus
"Binary": moduli of the form $2^{k_{1}}, 2^{k_{1}}-1,2^{k_{2}}-1, \ldots 2^{k_{n}}-1$ ( $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}$ are integers)

Example 2 In the 5,3,2 residue system, $M=30$, integer representations 0 through 14 are positive, and 15 through 29 are negative (i.e., represent numbers -15 through -1 ). Calculate (8) ${ }^{c}$ and $(9)^{c}$ as well as $8-9$.
Solution: The representations of 8 and 9 are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8=[3,2,0] \\
& 9=[4,0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $(8)^{c}=[2,1,0]$ i.e. $5-3,3-2$, and $(2-0) \bmod _{2}$ while $(9)^{c}=[1,0,1]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
8 & =8 \\
-9 & =(9)^{c}=
\end{aligned} \frac{[3,2,0]}{-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
+[1,0,1] \\
\hline 4,2,1]
\end{array}=29 \text { or }-16\right.
$$

## Binary (or Merrill) RNS

Merrill suggests the largest be of the form $2^{k_{1}}$ and the second largest of the form $2^{k_{1}}-1, k_{1}$ the same.

The remaining moduli should avoid common factors.

| Moduli | Prime Factors |
| ---: | :--- |
| 3 | - |
| 7 | - |
| 15 | 3,5 |
| 31 | - |
| 63 | -7 |
| 127 | 3,5 |
| 255 | 7,73 |
| 511 | $3,11,31$ |
| 1023 | 23,89 |
| 2047 | $3,5,7,13$ |
| 4095 | - |
| 8191 | 2 |
| $2^{k}(k=1,2,3,4 \ldots)$ |  |

- "Compatible" with binary ALUs.
- Almost the capacity of $2^{n}$ where $n=k_{1}+\sum k_{i}$.

| Bits to represent | Moduli set |
| :---: | :--- |
| 17 | $32,31,15,7$ |
| 25 | $128,127,63,31$ |
| 28 | $256,255,127,31$ |

In the 17 -bit case, instead of $2^{17}$ code points, we have

$$
2^{5}\left(2^{5}-1\right)\left(2^{4}-1\right)\left(2^{3}-1\right)=2^{17}-\mathcal{O}\left(2^{14}\right)
$$

That is less than 1 bit of representational capability.
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Example 3 A table of 1024 or $2^{10}$ entries is used for moduli up to 32 , or $2^{5}$; i.e., if $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ are 5 -bit arguments, then their concatenated 10-bit value forms an address into a table of results.


In this case, addition, subtraction, and multiplication are accomplished in one access time to the table.

## Conversion to RNS with general moduli

Let us compute the residue $\bmod _{7}$ of the radix 10 integer 1826 .

We begin by decomposing the number

$$
\begin{aligned}
1826 & =1 \times 1000+8 \times 100+2 \times 10+6 \\
& =a_{3} \times 10^{3}+a_{2} \times 10^{2}+a_{1} \times 10+a_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and note that

Thus, we have the following table and get

$$
1826 \bmod _{7}=(6+2+6+6) \bmod _{7}=6
$$

| $a_{3}$ | $x_{j 3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $x_{j 2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $x_{j 1}$ | $a_{0}$ | $x_{j 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 8 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 1 |
| 9 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 2 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
10 \bmod _{7} & =3 \\
100 \bmod _{7} & =\left(10 \bmod _{7} \times 10 \bmod _{7}\right) \bmod _{7}=2 \\
1000 \bmod _{7} & =\left(100 \bmod _{7} \times 10 \bmod _{7}\right) \bmod _{7}=6
\end{aligned}
$$

A binary number $X \bmod _{2^{n}}$ with the value

$$
X_{b a s e 2}=x_{n-1} 2^{n-1}+x_{n-2} 2^{n-2}+\cdots+x_{0}
$$

where $x_{i}$ has value 0 or 1 , is rewritten as:

$$
X_{b a s e 2^{k}}=X_{m-1}\left(2^{k}\right)^{m-1}+X_{m-2}\left(2^{k}\right)^{m-2}+\cdots+X_{0}
$$

where $X_{i}$ has values $\left\{0,1, \ldots 2^{k}-1\right\}$.

Then, $X \bmod _{2^{\mathrm{k}}}=X_{0}$ and

$$
X \bmod _{2^{\mathrm{k}}-1}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} X_{i}\left(2^{k}\right)^{i} \bmod _{2^{\mathrm{k}}-1}\right) \bmod _{2^{\mathrm{k}-1}}
$$

1. Find the weight of modulus $m_{j}$ : the residue representation that has a " 1 " in the $j^{\text {th }}$ residue position and zero for all other residues.
2. To recover the integer $X$ from its residue representation, we sum the weighted residue modulo $M$ :

$$
X \bmod _{\mathrm{M}}=\left(\sum\left(x_{j} w_{j}\right)\right) \bmod _{\mathrm{M}} .
$$

If, in an $n$-bit binary system:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a \bmod _{2^{n}} \\
+b \bmod _{2^{n}}
\end{array} c^{\bmod _{2}}
$$

then it also follows that:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a \bmod _{2^{\mathrm{k}}-1} \\
+b \bmod _{2^{\mathrm{k}}-1} \\
\hline \operatorname{cmod}_{2^{\mathrm{k}}-1}
\end{array}
$$

Since $2^{n}$ and $2^{k}-1$ are relatively prime, it is possible to use a small $k$-bit adder $(n \gg k)$ to check the operation of the $n$-bit adder.

However, in an algorithm that relies heavily on addition and multiplication and does not need to convert or compare often (as in signal processing and cryptography) residues have their place!

