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#### Abstract

Due to the increasing of the speed of modern communications systems the detection and correction of errors in digital information have become a very important issues. Such errors almost inevitably occur after the transmission, storage or processing of information in digital form, because of noise and interference in communication channels, or imperfections in storage media, for example. So the protecting of digital information with a suitable error-control code enables the efficient detection and correction of any errors that may have occurred. In highspeed communication systems, Reed-Solomon (RS) codes have a widespread use to provide error protection especially for burst errors. This feature has been one of the important factors in adopting RS code in many practical applications such as digital audio and video, magnetic and optical recording, computer memory, cable modem, and wireless communications systems. We designed a low-energy configurable multi-channel Reed-Solomon RS $(255,239)$ decoder using Euclidean algorithm. The configurable structure enables the decoder to be shared with 8 or 16 channels. The proposed design presents also a configurable syndrome cell and Chien search cell which can work as two parallel or serial cells to achieve high throughput and reduce the power consumption. The reduction of the power consumption and the latency of the architecture lead to save the energy dissipation and save the battery in hand held devices.
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## Chapter 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction to Communication System

Due to a high demand for efficient and reliable digital data transmission in communication systems and storage devices, a great effort had been exerted in this area to merge between communication and computer technology in the design of these systems.

In 1948, in his classic paper "A Mathematical Theory of Communication" C. Shannon [1] introduced the main concepts of what is known as information theory. Since Shannon's work, a great of effort has been exerted on the problem of proposing efficient encoding and decoding methods for error control in a noisy channels. The use of coding for error control has become an integral part in the design of modern communication systems and digital storage devices in computers to achieve the reliability required by today's high speed digital systems.

The transmission in communication systems and storage of digital information have many common properties. Both of them transfer data from an information source to a destination. The transmission system or storage device can be represented by the block diagram shown in figure 1.1.

The information source output can be either analog signal, in case of medical application or digital signal in case of digital computer.

The source encoder transforms the source output into a suitable sequence of binary digits (bits) as it may give each symbol different length of bits to minimize the size of data and its output called the information sequence $u$. In case of a analog information source, the source encoder contains analog to digital converter (ADC).


Figure 1.1: Block diagram of a typical data transmission or storage system.

The channel encoder converts the information sequence $u$ into an encoded sequence $c$ called a codeword or code vector. In most applications $c$ is also a binary sequence, although in some applications non-binary codes have been used as each symbol in non-binary code is represented in binary sequence.

The channel is the medium which the data is transferred through it and its nature depends on the application. It may be wireless channel in mobile application or wired channel in ADSL. But in storage devices the channel is the device itself. The channel determines the type of errors which affects the encoded sequence $c$, it will be random errors or burst errors so it also determines the type of code to be used. So the channel transforms the encoded sequence $v$ to received sequence $r$ which may has some errors.

The channel decoder corrects the errors in the received sequence $r$ to transform it into a binary sequence $\hat{u}$ called the estimated sequence. The decoding technique is based on the type of channel encoding. Ideally, $\hat{u}$ will be the same as the information sequence $u$, but actually the noise may cause some decoding errors which makes difference between the information sequence $u$ and the estimated sequence $\hat{u}$.

The source decoder transforms the estimated sequence $\hat{u}$ into an estimate of
the source output and delivers this estimate to the destination. When the source is an analog source, it contains digital to analog converter (DAC).

In this thesis we will focus on the channel encoder and channel decoder, So the block diagram in figure 1.1 can be simplified as shown in figure 1.2.


Figure 1.2: Simplified model of a coded system.

### 1.2 Types of Codes

There are two different types of codes in common use today, block codes and convolutional codes.

### 1.2.1 Binary Block Codes

The encoder for a block code divides the information sequence into message blocks, each block of $k$ information bits. A message block is represented by $k$ bits $u=$ $\left(u_{0}, u_{2}, \ldots ., u_{k-1}\right)$ called a message. There are $2^{k}$ different possible messages each of them of length $k$ bits. The encoder encodes each message independently into an $n$-bits sequence $c=\left(c_{0}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)$ called a code word. Therefore, corresponding to the $2^{k}$ different possible messages, there are $2^{k}$ different possible code words of
length $n$ bits at the encoder output. So in this case we call the code by $(n, k)$ block code. The ratio $R=k / n$ is called the code rate, and it represents the number of information bits corresponding to the transmitted bits and it's smaller than one, $R<1$ and $n-k$ is called redundant bits [2]. These redundant bits provide the code the capability of detecting and correcting errors in the received vectors. How to add these redundant bits to the message to achieve reliable transmission over a noisy channel is the major problem in designing the code. An example of a binary code with $k=4$ and $n=7$ is shown in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Binary block code with $k=4$ and $n=7$

| Message | Code words |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0000 | 0000000 |
| 1000 | 1101000 |
| 0100 | 0110100 |
| 1100 | 1011100 |
| 0010 | 1110010 |
| 1010 | 0011010 |
| 0110 | 1000110 |
| 1110 | 0101110 |
| 0001 | 1010001 |
| 1001 | 0111001 |
| 0101 | 1100101 |
| 1101 | 0001101 |
| 0011 | 0100011 |
| 1011 | 1001011 |
| 0111 | 0010111 |
| 1111 | 111111 |

### 1.2.2 Convolutional Code

The encoder for a convolutional code also divides the information sequence to $k$-bit blocks of message $u$ and produces a code word $c$ of $n$-bits length. In convolutional coding, each code word depends not only on the corresponding $k$-bit message block at the same time unit, but also $m$ previous message blocks. Hence, the encoder has $m$ memory elements. Each bit from $k$ bits message enters from different input and similarly we have $n$ different outputs. So The encoded sequences produced by a $k$ input, $n$-output encoder of $m$ memory elements is called an $(n, k, m)$ convolutional code. The ratio $R=k / n$ also is called the code rate. Also in convolutional code the redundant bits are added to provide the code the capability of correcting errors in the received vectors so the code rate is smaller than one, $R<1$ [2]. How to
use the memory to achieve reliable transmission over a noisy channel is the main problem in designing the convolutional encoder [2].

### 1.3 Introduction to Reed-Solomon Codes

In June of 1960, Irving Reed and Gus Solomon published a paper in the Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics with title of "Polynomial Codes over Certain Finite Fields" [3]. This paper described a new type of errorcontrol codes that are now called Reed-Solomon codes. Reed-Solomon Codes are non-binary block codes so it has the properties of block codes. These codes are encoded by the same way of encoding the binary codes, but decoding was very complicated and a great efforts was exerted by Berlekamp [4] and others to improve its decoding algorithm. In 1971, Reed-Solomon codes used by NASA for some of their missions to Mars. The problem in this code is the decoding algorithm which was very complicated so it was implemented on computers on earth. In recent years, a great efforts have been exerted in developing this field to enhance the decoding algorithms, and nowadays Reed-Solomon codes are used for CDs, wireless communication, DVD or digital TV.

The most important advantage of Reed-Solomon codes over binary linear block codes is the ability of correcting the burst errors. As in Reed-Solomon codes the information bits are divided into message blocks of $k$ information symbols each and each symbol consists of $m$ bits. The encoder encodes each message independently to $n$ symbols. The $\operatorname{RS}(n, k)$ can correct up to $t$ symbols where $t=\left\lfloor\frac{n-k}{2}\right\rfloor$, and that regardless the number of error bits in each symbol.

### 1.4 Motivation

While the RS code is used in many digital applications, a great efforts were exerted to develop the decoding algorithms and to implement them. But all these efforts were focusing on either the speed of the implementations or the area of them. So in this thesis we are focusing on a compromising between the area and the speed and also the energy consumed by the decoder.

### 1.5 Thesis Organization

The organization of this thesis is as follows:

In Chapter 2, we introduce the fundamentals of different algebraic structures, Galois field properties and different structures of Galois field multipliers, then finally an introduction to cyclic codes is introduced. In Chapter 3, we introduce the RS decoder and many types of decoding algorithms and which of them we will choose. In Chapter 4, the hardware implementation of the decoder, and also a comparison between the proposed architecture and the previous architectures are introduced. Finally, some conclusions and future work will be given in Chapter 5.

## Chapter 2

## REED-SOLOMON CODES

### 2.1 Algebraic Structures

In this section we will define some of algebraic basics that we need throughout the thesis. We start with the definition of some algebraic structures [5] and why we choose one of them to work over it. The most important algebraic structures in the algebraic coding theory are groups, rings and finite fields. Also we will introduce the binary and non-binary finite fields and their properties.

### 2.1.1 Groups

A non-empty set $G$ together with a binary operation ' $*$ ' is called a group if for all elements $a, b, c \in G$ the following properties must be satisfied [5]:

1- Closure :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a * b \in G \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

2- Associative :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a *(b * c)=(a * b) * c \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

3- Identity :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists e \in G: \forall a \in G: \quad a * e=e * a=a, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

4- Inverse :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall a \in G: \exists a ̀ \in G: a * \grave{a}=e . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The element $e$ is the identity element, and $\grave{a}$ is the inverse element of element $a$.
5- Commutative :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a * b=b * a \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of elements of a group $G$ determines the group order, ord $(G)$. If the number of elements is finite, the group is a finite group. From previous definition and properties of group we can note that one operation is defined in the structure. So we can either add or multiply but in our application we need the two operations so this structure is not suitable for RS codes.

### 2.1.2 Rings

A ring $S$ is a non-empty set of elements with two operators usually called addition and multiplication, denoted ' + 'and ' $*$ ' respectively. For $S$ to be a ring a number of conditions must hold for all elements $a, b, c \in S$ [5]:

1- Closure :

$$
\begin{align*}
a * b & \in S,  \tag{2.6}\\
a+b & \in S
\end{align*}
$$

2- Associative :

$$
\begin{align*}
a+(b+c) & =(a+b)+c \\
a *(b * c) & =(a * b) * c, \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

3- Identity :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exists 1 \in S: \forall a \in S: \quad a * 1=1 * a=a \\
& \exists 0 \in S: \forall a \in S: \quad a+0=0+a=a, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

4- Inverse :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall a \in S: \exists-a \in S: a+(-a)=0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The element 0 is the identity element of addition, the element 1 is the identity element of multiplication, and $-a$ is the additive inverse element of element $a$.

5- Commutative :

$$
\begin{align*}
a+b & =b+a \\
a * b & =b * a \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

6- Distributive :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a+b) * c=a * c+b * c \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From previous properties there is no condition to satisfy that the multiplicative inverse of each element is unique. So we couldn't make division operation. But the decoding algorithm of RS codes needs the division operation so this structure is not suitable to work over it.

### 2.1.3 Fields

A field $F$ is a non-empty set of elements with two binary operations called addition and multiplication, denoted ' + ' and ' $*$ ' respectively. For $F$ to be a field the following conditions must be satisfied for all elements $a, b, c \in F[5]$ :

1- Closure :

$$
\begin{align*}
c & =a+b \\
d & =a * b \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

2- Associative :

$$
\begin{align*}
a+(b+c) & =(a+b)+c \\
a *(b * c) & =(a * b) * c . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

3- Identity :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exists 1 \in F: \forall a \in F: \quad a * 1=1 * a=a, \\
& \exists 0 \in F: \forall a \in F: \quad a+0=0+a=a . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The element 0 is the identity element of addition, the element 1 is the identity element of multiplication

4- Inverse :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall a \in F: \exists b \in F: a+b=0 \\
& \forall a \in F: \exists c \in F: a * c=1 \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Element $b$ is called the additive inverse, $b=(-a)$, element $c$ is called the multiplicative inverse, $c=a^{-1}(a \neq 0)$.

5- Commutative :

$$
\begin{align*}
a+b & =b+a \\
a * b & =b * a \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

6- Distributive :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a+b) * c=a * c+b * c \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It's clear from previous properties, the multiplicative inverse and additive inverse of each element are unique. So these properties enable the use of division and subtraction this is because the division can be performed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall a, b, c \in F: c=b / a=b * a^{-1} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also the subtraction can be performed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall a, b, c \in F: c=b-a=b+(-a) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also the number of elements in the field determines the order of it. So this structure is suitable for RS codes which needs addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division to decode it.

### 2.1.4 Galois Field GF (q)

Galois field $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ can be defined as a set of integers $\{0,1,2, \ldots, q-1\}$ where $q$ is a prime, with modulo $q$ addition and multiplication [6]. The name of Galois is in honour of Evariste Galois [7].

For example consider $\operatorname{GF}(2)=\{0,1\}$ is a finite field of order 2 under modulo 2 addition and multiplication.
Modulo 2 addition

| + | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |

Modulo 2 multiplication

| $*$ | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |

From previous example it is clear that the additive inverse of zero is zero and also the additive inverse of one is one. This property is very important in $\operatorname{GF}(2)$ or in general in $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ and we can generalize this property by saying that the additive inverse of any element in $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ is itself. Because of this property we used $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ to represent RS codes as by using this algebraic structure we can represent each element in the field in binary form. So we can implement the encoder and decoder using regular digital circuits.

The previous field is known as a binary field which is used in binary error control coding. But in this thesis we use non-binary error control coding so we will introduce non-binary field which called $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$.

### 2.1.5 The extended field $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$

In this subsection we introduce the properties of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ and how to generate the field and how the arithmetic operation can be performed over it. Before introducing the properties of $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$, we will see how to generate this field.

From the definition of Galois field $\operatorname{GF}(q)$, the number of elements are $q$ and
the field must contain the identity element of addition and the identity element of multiplication which are zero and one respectively. So $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ has the same properties but $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ can not be generated like $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ as $2^{m}$ is not a prime number. So the field will be generated in a different way, we will define a new element $\alpha$ and the field will be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)=\left\{0,1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}, \ldots, \alpha^{2^{m}-2}\right\} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By defining the field in this way, the multiplication can be performed easily. For example $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{3}\right)=\left\{0,1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}, \ldots, \alpha^{6}\right\}$, the multiplication be performed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 * \alpha & =0 \\
\alpha * \alpha & =\alpha^{2} \\
\alpha^{3} * \alpha^{6} & =\alpha^{9}=\alpha^{9 \bmod 7}=\alpha^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Or in general the multiplication of any two elements in the field $\alpha^{i}$ and $\alpha^{j}$ belong to $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ can be defined as:

$$
\alpha^{i} * \alpha^{j}=\alpha^{k}
$$

where $k=(i+j) \bmod \left(2^{m}-1\right)$. In other words $\alpha^{k}=\frac{\alpha^{i+j}}{\alpha^{2^{m}-1}}$, so this condition must be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2^{m}-1}=1 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following part we will explain how to represent the elements in binary form, hence addition can be performed and also satisfies equation 2.21.

Before introducing the binary representation of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ some definitions should be introduced.

A primitive polynomial $p(x)$ of degree $m$ over $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ is presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=p_{0}+p_{1} x+p_{2} x^{2}+\ldots+p_{m} x^{m} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $p_{i} \in \mathrm{GF}(2)$.
The notion of an primitive polynomial is now introduced. But before introducing the primitive polynomial we will define the irreducible polynomial.

A polynomial $p(x)$ defined over $\mathrm{GF}(2)$, of degree $m$, is said to be irreducible,
if $p(x)$ can not be factorized to polynomials of degree higher than zero and lower than $m$ [2].

An irreducible polynomial of degree $m$ is a primitive polynomial if the smallest positive integer $n$ for which $p(x)$ divides $x^{n}+1$ is $n=2^{m-1}[2]$.

So we write this equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}+1=p(x) q(x) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To generate the extended field $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$, the element $\alpha$ is assumed to be a root of $p(x)$ which is a primitive, monic polynomial of degree $m$. By substituting by $\alpha$ in equation 2.23

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha^{n}+1 & =p(\alpha) q(\alpha)=0 \\
\alpha^{n} & =1 \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

So now equation 2.21 is satisfied. By this primitive polynomial the field elements can be represented in binary form.

For example, we want to represent the elements which belong to $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{3}\right)$ in binary form and the primitive polynomial $p(x)=1+x+x^{3}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\alpha) & =1+\alpha+\alpha^{3}=0 \\
\alpha^{3} & =1+\alpha \\
\alpha^{4} & =\alpha+\alpha^{2} \\
\alpha^{5} & =1+\alpha+\alpha^{2} \\
\alpha^{6} & =1+\alpha^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So we can represent all elements in terms of $1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}$ as follows:

Table 2.1: Binary representation of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$

| Field elements | Standard Basis <br> $1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 000 |
| 1 | 100 |
| $\alpha$ | 010 |
| $\alpha^{2}$ | 001 |
| $\alpha^{3}$ | 110 |
| $\alpha^{4}$ | 011 |
| $\alpha^{5}$ | 111 |
| $\alpha^{6}$ | 101 |

As shown in Table 2.1 the binary representation of elements depends on the primitive polynomial and the basis of the field [7]. We have a different types of basis to represent the field elements so we can change the field basis to enhance the performance of multiplier as addition can be performed simply using XOR gate. The primitive polynomial $p(x)$ for different values of $m$ is shown in Appendix A.

### 2.1.6 Basis of field $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$

The Galois field $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ elements can be expressed as a linear combination of a set of $m$ linearly independent elements $\gamma=\left\{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m-1}\right\}$ belong to $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ and these elements called a basis of $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)[8]$.

Let any element $a \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ can be represented as a linear combination of these basis elements over GF(2). That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{o} \gamma_{0}+a_{1} \gamma_{1}+\ldots .+a_{m-1} \gamma_{m-1} \quad a_{i} \in \mathrm{GF}(2) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the field element $a$ can be expressed by the binary vector $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1}\right)$. The type of basis which is used to represent the field depends on the application as the speed is the important issue of some applications and the area is the important issue of other applications so now we will introduce the types of basis.

## Standard Basis

Let $a$ be an element in $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$. In the standard basis the element can be represented as follows [8]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{0}+a_{1} \alpha+a_{2} \alpha^{2}+\cdots+a_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $a_{i} \in \mathrm{GF}(2)$.
For example consider $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{3}\right)$ with a primitive polynomial $p(x)=x^{3}+x+1$, then $A=\left\{1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}\right\}$ forms the standard basis for this field and all 8 elements can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{0}+a_{1} \alpha+a_{2} \alpha^{2} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{i} \in \operatorname{GF}(2)$. The relationship between the elements and the standard basis representation of $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{3}\right)$ is shown in Table 2.1.

## Dual Basis

A field element $a$ can be expressed in dual basis as follows [8]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{0} \lambda_{0}+a_{1} \lambda_{1}+a_{2} \lambda_{2}+\cdots+a_{m-1} \lambda_{m-1} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $a_{i} \in \operatorname{GF}(2)$ is the trace of $a$, i.e. $a_{i}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(a \alpha^{i}\right)$ and $\lambda_{i} \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$. The definition of the trace function is given below:

Let the trace of an element $\gamma \in \mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \gamma^{2^{k}} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let two basis $\lambda_{k}$ and $\mu_{j}$ are said to be dual to each other if:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mu_{j} \lambda_{k}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } j=k  \tag{2.30}\\ 0 & \text { if } j \neq k\end{cases}
$$

For convenience, the basis $\mu_{j}$ is called the original basis and $\lambda_{k}$ is called its dual basis. So we can convert from any basis to its dual basis using equation 2.30 which will be explained later.

Using The dual basis field element representation, an efficient and simple hardware implementation of a multiplier [9] can be implemented as it can be implemented using addition and shift operations only.

For example consider $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$ with a primitive polynomial $p(x)=x^{4}+x+1$. Then $\left\{1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}\right\}$ is the standard basis for the field. $\left\{1, \alpha^{3}, \alpha^{2}, \alpha\right\}$ forms the dual basis to the standard basis [9]. So the dual basis can be obtained from the standard basis with a simple linear transformation [10].

## Normal Basis

The normal basis also can be used to represent an element $a \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ as follows [8]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{0} \gamma+a_{1} \gamma^{2}+a_{2} \gamma^{4}+\cdots+a_{m-1} \gamma^{2^{(m-1)}} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $a_{i} \in \operatorname{GF}(2)$ and $\gamma \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$.
The main advantage of using the normal basis is the easy implementation of squarer. Assume that $\left\{\alpha, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{4}, \ldots, \alpha^{2^{(m-1)}}\right\}$ is the normal basis of $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ so an element $a$ can be represented as follows [8]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{0} \alpha+a_{1} \alpha^{2}+a_{2} \alpha^{4}+\cdots+a_{m-1} \alpha^{2^{(m-1)}} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the square of $a$ can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=a_{0} \alpha^{2}+a_{1} \alpha^{4}+a_{2} \alpha^{8}+\cdots+a_{m-1} \alpha^{2^{m}} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

But by multiplying equation 2.21 by $\alpha$, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2^{m}}=\alpha \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

So equation 2.33 can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=a_{m-1} \alpha+a_{0} \alpha^{2}+a_{1} \alpha^{4}+a_{2} \alpha^{8}+\cdots+a_{m-2} \alpha^{2^{(m-1)}} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations 2.33 and 2.35 we can notice that $a^{2}$ is a cyclic shift of $a$.
This property is important as it allows for hardware efficient Massey-Omura multipliers to be designed [11]. The normal basis representation of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$ is given in Appendix B.

### 2.1.7 Basis Conversions

Conversion from one basis to another one is required to have a homogeneous system, as the communicating parts must have a common representation. But they may choose different representation when implementing finite field arithmetic, eg., data encryption and error control coding. To take the advantage of each basis representation, a system can be partitioned and an appropriate technique applied to each sub-system. Hence, the need for conversion circuits and techniques are necessary [8].

## Standard Basis to Dual Basis Conversion

Let the primitive polynomial of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$ be
$p(x)=1+x+x^{3}+x^{5}+x^{8}$
From the definition of the trace function in equation 2.29 we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha^{k}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{7}\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2^{i}} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\alpha$ is the root of $p(x)$.
In standard basis any element $Z \in \mathrm{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$ can be written as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{k=0}^{7} z_{k} \alpha^{k} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also can represent the element $Z$ by a vector $\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{7}\right]$.
And in dual basis element $Z$ can be represented as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{k=0}^{7} \grave{z}_{k} \lambda_{k} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\lambda_{k}$ and $\alpha^{k}$ are dual to each others. So from equations 2.30, 2.37, and 2.38 we can get $\grave{z}_{k}$ if we know $z_{k}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\grave{z}_{k}= & \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z \alpha^{k}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(z_{0} \alpha^{0}+z_{1} \alpha+z_{2} \alpha^{2}+z_{3} \alpha^{3}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+z_{4} \alpha^{4}+z_{5} \alpha^{5}+z_{6} \alpha^{6}+z_{7} \alpha^{7}\right) \alpha^{k}  \tag{2.39}\\
= & z_{0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha^{k}\right)+z_{1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha^{k+1}\right)+z_{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha^{k+2}\right)+z_{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha^{k+3}\right) \\
& +z_{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha^{k+4}\right)+z_{5} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha^{k+5}\right)+z_{6} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha^{k+6}\right)+z_{7} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha^{k+7}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From equation 2.40, the conversion from standard basis to dual basis is completed once $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)$, for $0 \leq i \leq 14$ are known.

## Dual Basis to Standard Basis Conversion

Also from equations $2.30,2.37$, and 2.38 we can get $z_{k}$ if we know $\grave{z}_{k}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{k}= & \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z \alpha^{k}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\grave{z}_{0} \lambda_{0}+\grave{z}_{1} \lambda_{1}+\grave{z}_{2} \lambda_{2}+\grave{z}_{3} \lambda_{3}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\grave{z}_{4} \lambda_{4}+\grave{z}_{5} \lambda_{5}+\grave{z}_{6} \lambda_{6}+\grave{z}_{7} \lambda_{7}\right) \lambda_{k}  \tag{2.40}\\
= & \grave{z}_{0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{0} \lambda_{k}\right)+\grave{z}_{1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{k}\right)+\grave{z}_{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{2} \lambda_{k}\right)+\grave{z}_{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{3} \lambda_{k}\right) \\
& +\grave{z}_{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{4} \lambda_{k}\right)+\grave{z}_{5} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{5} \lambda_{k}\right)+\grave{z}_{6} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{6} \lambda_{k}\right)+\grave{z}_{7} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{7} \lambda_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If the trace values of equation 2.40 the basis conversion from dual to standard basis is completed.

## Normal Basis to Standard Basis Conversion

Consider the element $Z \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ and its representation in standard basis will be as follows:

$$
Z=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
z_{0} & z_{1} & \ldots & z_{m-1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha^{0}  \tag{2.41}\\
\alpha^{1} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha^{m-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Also the element $Z$ can be represented in normal basis as follows:

$$
\grave{Z}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\grave{z}_{0} & \grave{z}_{1} & \ldots & \grave{z}_{m-1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha  \tag{2.42}\\
\alpha^{2} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha^{2^{(m-1)}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\grave{Z}$ is simply an alternative representation of $Z$ therefore $\grave{Z}$ can be converted from $Z$ with a particular mapping known as a conversion matrix. Let $C$ be the conversion matrix and can be written as follows:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{0}  \tag{2.43}\\
z_{1} \\
\vdots \\
z_{m-1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{11} & c_{12} & \ldots & c_{1(m-1)} \\
c_{21} & c_{22} & \ldots & c_{2(m-2)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
c_{(m-1) 1} & c_{(m-1) 2} & \ldots & c_{(m-1)(m-1)}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\grave{z}_{0} \\
\grave{z}_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\grave{z}_{m-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $\left\{z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{m-1}\right\}$ and $\left\{\grave{z}_{0}, \grave{z}_{1}, \grave{z}_{2}, \ldots, \grave{z}_{m-1}\right\}$ elements belong to GF(2)
therefore their addition can be implemented by an array of XOR gates.
For example, let us consider the case of an element in $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$ in which $p(x)=$ $1+x+x^{4}$ is the primitive polynomial. In standard basis, let element $A$ be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=a_{0} \alpha^{0}+a_{1} \alpha^{1}+a_{2} \alpha^{2}+a_{3} \alpha^{3} \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $\grave{A}$ is an alternative representation of $A$ in normal basis, so it can be represented as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\grave{A}={\grave{a_{0}}}_{0} \alpha^{3}+{\grave{a_{1}}}^{6} \alpha^{6}+{\grave{a_{2}}}_{2} \alpha^{12}+\grave{a}_{3} \alpha^{9} \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

So by equating equation 2.44 by equation 2.45 , we can get the conversion matrix as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{0} \alpha^{0}+a_{1} \alpha^{1}+a_{2} \alpha^{2}+a_{3} \alpha^{3} & =\grave{a}_{0} \alpha^{3}+\grave{a}_{1} \alpha^{6}+\grave{a}_{2} \alpha^{12}+\grave{a_{3}} \alpha^{9}  \tag{2.46}\\
& =\grave{a}_{2} \alpha^{0}+\left(\grave{a_{3}}+\grave{a}_{2}\right) \alpha^{1}+\left(\grave{a}_{1}+\grave{a}_{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+\left(\grave{a}_{0}+\grave{a_{1}}+\grave{a}_{2}+\grave{a_{3}}\right) \alpha^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

So by equating the coefficient we can get the following set of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}=\grave{a}_{2} \\
& a_{1}=\grave{a}_{3}+\grave{a_{2}}  \tag{2.47}\\
& a_{2}=\grave{a}_{1}+\grave{a_{2}} \\
& a_{3}=\grave{a}_{0}+\grave{a_{1}}+\grave{a_{2}}+\grave{a_{3}}
\end{align*}
$$

So the conversion matrix can be represented as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{0}  \tag{2.48}\\
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\grave{a}_{0} \\
\grave{a_{1}} \\
\grave{a_{2}} \\
\grave{a_{3}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore, $[A]=[C][B]$, where $C$ is the conversion matrix.

## Standard Basis to Dual Basis Conversion

The matrix $C$ which is shown above describes the conversion from normal basis to standard basis. Therefore, to convert from standard basis to normal basis the inverse of matrix is needed which is $C^{-1}$. The matrix $C^{-1}$ for previous example can be expressed as:

$$
C^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1  \tag{2.49}\\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

### 2.2 Finite Field Multipliers

The most important operations of finite field operations are multiplication and addition. Multiplication is considered to be much more complicated than addition as addition can be implemented easily using XOR gate. A huge effort has been exerted in research to reduce the hardware complexity and the latency of multipliers. In the following subsections Three types of multipliers will be explained and each of them is based on different basis.

### 2.2.1 Multiplication by a constant value

A multiplication by a constant value is used a lot either in encoding or in decoding process of RS codes. A multiplication by a constant value can be performed using two-variable operands multiplier of any types which will be described later. But it is usually efficiently to design a multiplier specifically for this task to save the area $[2,7]$.

Let $a$ be an element in $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ and it can be expressed in standard basis as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{0}+a_{1} \alpha+\ldots+a_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1} \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

After multiplying $a$ by $\alpha$ equation 2.50 can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a * \alpha=a_{0} \alpha+a_{1} \alpha^{2}+\ldots+a_{m-1} \alpha^{m} \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the primitive polynomial $p(x)$ can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=1+p_{1} x+p_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+p_{m-1} x^{m-1}+x^{m} \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $p_{i} \in \mathrm{GF}(2)$ and $p(\alpha)=0$ so

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\alpha)=1+p_{1} \alpha+p_{2} \alpha^{2}+\cdots+p_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1}+\alpha^{m}=0 \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{m}=1+p_{1} \alpha+p_{2} \alpha^{2}+\cdots+p_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1} \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

So equation 2.51 can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a * \alpha=a_{0} \alpha+a_{1} \alpha^{2}+\ldots+a_{m-2} \alpha^{m-1}+a_{m-1}\left(1+p_{1} \alpha+p_{2} \alpha^{2}+\cdots+p_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1}\right) \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is equivalent to $a * \alpha \bmod p(\alpha)$.
For example consider, let $a \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$ and be presented in standard basis as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{0}+a_{1} \alpha+a_{2} \alpha^{2}+a_{3} \alpha^{3} \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

multiplication by $\alpha$ in $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$, where the primitive polynomial $p(x)=x^{4}+x+1$.
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a * \alpha=a_{0} \alpha+a_{1} \alpha^{2}+a_{2} \alpha^{3}+a_{3} \alpha^{4} \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a * \alpha=a_{3}+\left(a_{3}+a_{0}\right) \alpha+a_{1} \alpha^{2}+a_{2} \alpha^{3} \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this multiplication can be carried out with one XOR gate as shown in figure 2.6.

### 2.2.2 Two-Variable operands Multipliers

Finite field addition and multiplication can be performed serially or in parallel, that depends on that $m$ bits representing field elements are processed in series or in parallel. Bit-serial multipliers generally require less area than bit-parallel multipliers, but also they usually need $m$ clock cycles to generate the output rather than one. Hence in time critical applications bit-parallel multipliers are the best choice, in spite of the increased hardware overheads, so in this thesis we will focus on bit-parallel multipliers.

## Bit-parallel Dual basis multipliers (PDBM)

The bit-parallel dual basis multiplier (PDBM) was presented in [9].
Let $a, b, c \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$, where $a$ and $c$ are presented in dual basis form as

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =a_{0} \lambda_{0}+a_{1} \lambda_{1}+\cdots+a_{m-1} \lambda_{m-1}  \tag{2.59}\\
c & =c_{0} \lambda_{0}+c_{1} \lambda_{1}+\cdots+c_{m-1} \lambda_{m-1} \tag{2.60}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i}, c_{i} \in \operatorname{GF}(2)$, and $\lambda_{i} \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$, but $b$ is presented in standard basis form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=b_{0}+b_{1} \alpha+\cdots+b_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1} \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i} \in \mathrm{GF}(2)$.
From the definition of trace function in equation 2.30 the coefficients $a_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ can be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{i} & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(a \alpha^{i}\right)  \tag{2.62}\\
c_{i} & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(c \alpha^{i}\right) \tag{2.63}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $i=0,1, \ldots, m-1$
Therefore the multiplication $c=a * b$ can be expressed in the matrix form [9].

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & \ldots & a_{m-1}  \tag{2.64}\\
a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{m-1} & a_{m} & \ldots & a_{2 m-2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{0} \\
b_{1} \\
\vdots \\
b_{m-1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{0} \\
c_{1} \\
\vdots \\
c_{m-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The coefficients $a_{i}(i=m, m+1, \ldots, 2 m-1)$ can be computed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+k}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(a \alpha^{m+k}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} p_{j} * a_{j+k} \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the $p_{j}$ are the coefficient of the primitive polynomial $p(x)$ and $k=$ $0,1, m-2$.

Berlekamp used the above properties to design a bit serial-multiplier [12], and also the PDBM can be easily derived [9] as a circuit implementing the equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j}=a_{j} b_{0}+a_{j+1} b_{1}+a_{j+2} b_{2}+\ldots+a_{j+m-1} b_{m-1} \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $j=0,1, \ldots, m-1$.

In general a PDBM for $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ contains module A that generates $a_{m+i}(i=$ $0,1, \ldots, m-1)$ from equation 2.66 and $m$ modules B each of them generates the inner product of $c_{i}$ by performing equation 2.66

As an example, the PDBM for $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{3}\right)$ using a primitive polynomial $p(x)=$ $1+x+x^{3}$ is shown in figure 2.1 and modules A and B are shown in figures 2.2 and 2.3 respectively.


Figure 2.1: Bit-parallel dual basis multiplier for $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{3}\right)$


Figure 2.2: Type A module for a bit-parallel dual basis multiplier for $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{3}\right)$


Figure 2.3: Type B module for a bit-parallel dual basis multiplier for $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{3}\right)$

From previous discussion it is clear that the polynomial basis multiplier is more efficient in serial form [12]. So we don't use it in this thesis.

## Bit-parallel normal basis multipliers

A normal basis multiplier was proposed by Massey and Omura [11]. MasseyOmura multiplier [11] operates over the normal basis and so no basis converters are required as the two operands are expressed in normal basis. The idea of Massey-Omura multiplier is that the coefficient $c_{i+1}$ of the output can be obtained by increasing the indices of the inner products of $c_{i}$ by one, but from equation 2.68 we can notice that we the index of $a_{m-1}$ and $b_{m-1}$ is increased by one they are transformed to $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$. So the same function of $c_{i}$ can be used to generate the coefficient $c_{i+1}$ after one cyclic shift. So with each cyclic shift a product bit is generated. Hence instead of $m$ Boolean functions to generate the product, one Boolean function is required to generate all $m$ product bits. Each Boolean function
needs $2 m-1$ AND gates and $2 m-2$ XOR gates and the latency of the multiplier is $m$ clock cycles.

For an example, consider a Massey-Omura bit-serial multiplier for $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$. Let $a, b$, and $c \in \mathrm{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$ and the primitive polynomial is $p(x)=1+x+x^{4}$ and let a normal basis for the field is $\left\{\alpha^{3}, \alpha^{6}, \alpha^{12}, \alpha^{9}\right\}$, where $\alpha^{24}=\alpha^{9}$. Let such that $c=a * b$ and these elements are represented over normal basis. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
c & =c_{0} \alpha^{3}+c_{1} \alpha^{6}+c_{2} \alpha^{12}+c_{3} \alpha^{9} \\
& =\left(a_{0} \alpha^{3}+a_{1} \alpha^{6}+a_{2} \alpha^{12}+a_{3} \alpha^{9}\right) \cdot\left(b_{0} \alpha^{3}+b_{1} \alpha^{6}+b_{2} \alpha^{12}+b_{3} \alpha^{9}\right) \tag{2.67}
\end{align*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{0}=a_{0} b_{2}+a_{1} b_{2}+a_{1} b_{3}+a_{2} b_{0}+a_{2} b_{1}+a_{3} b_{1}+a_{3} b_{3} \\
& c_{1}=a_{1} b_{3}+a_{2} b_{3}+a_{2} b_{0}+a_{3} b_{1}+a_{3} b_{2}+a_{0} b_{2}+a_{0} b_{0} \\
& c_{2}=a_{2} b_{0}+a_{3} b_{0}+a_{3} b_{1}+a_{0} b_{2}+a_{0} b_{3}+a_{1} b_{3}+a_{1} b_{1}  \tag{2.68}\\
& c_{3}=a_{3} b_{1}+a_{0} b_{1}+a_{0} b_{2}+a_{1} b_{3}+a_{1} b_{0}+a_{2} b_{0}+a_{2} b_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation 2.68 the Boolean function which is required to generate $c_{0}$ can be used to generate $c_{1}, c_{2}$, and $c_{3}$ by adding one to all the indices. .

So Massey-Omura multiplier is more efficient in serial architecture. A bitparallel Massey-Omura multiplier (PMOM) requires at least $m(2 m-2)$ 2-input XOR gates and $m(2 m-1) 2$-input AND gates [13]. Accordingly, this multiplier is more complex than the PDBM and is not used in this thesis.

## Bit-parallel standard basis multipliers (PSBM)

Standard basis multipliers operate over the standard basis and require no basis converters (as the two operands use the same basis). These multipliers are easily implemented, reasonably hardware efficient. The bit-parallel standard basis multiplier (PSBM) was proposed by Laws [14].

Let $a, b, c \in \mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ and can be represented in standard basis as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =a_{0}+a_{1} \alpha+\cdots+a_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1} \\
b & =b_{0}+b_{1} \alpha+\cdots+b_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1}  \tag{2.69}\\
c & =c_{0}+c_{1} \alpha+\cdots+c_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1}
\end{align*}
$$

The multiplication $c=a * b$ (where $a, b, c$ are as given in equation 2.69) can be expressed

$$
\begin{align*}
& c=a * b=\left(a_{0}+a_{1} \alpha+\cdots+a_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1}\right) * b \\
& c=\left(\cdots\left(\left(\left(a_{0} b\right)+a_{1} b \alpha\right)+a_{2} b \alpha^{2}+\cdots\right) \alpha+a_{m-1} b \alpha^{m-1}\right. \tag{2.70}
\end{align*}
$$

Now represent $b * \alpha^{j}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
b * \alpha^{j}=b_{j, 0}+b_{j, 1} \alpha+b_{j, 2} \alpha^{2}+\cdots+b_{j, m-1} \alpha^{m-1} \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore using 2.70 and 2.71

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j}=a_{0} b_{0, j}+a_{1} b_{1, j}+a_{2} b_{2, j}+\cdots+a_{m-1} b_{m-1, j} \tag{2.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equations 2.71 and 2.72 it is possible to construct a modular and regular bit-parallel standers basis multiplier. A PPSM for $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$ is presented in figures 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6.


Figure 2.4: PPBM for $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$.


Figure 2.5: Module B of the PPBM


Figure 2.6: Circuit for multiplying by $\alpha$ in $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$

### 2.3 Introduction to Cyclic Codes

Cyclic codes are an important type of linear block codes, but they has an important properties which makes them easily implemented using sequential logic or shift registers and also by using these properties the hardware complexity can be reduced [2].

A linear block code is said to be cyclic if this condition is satisfied:
For any code vector of $n$ components, $c=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)$, a right-shift rotation of its components generates also a code vector $[6,15,16]$. If this right-shift rotation is done $i$ times, a cyclically rotated version of the original vector is obtained as follows:

$$
c^{(i)}=\left(c_{n-i}, c_{n-i+1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}, c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-i-1}\right)
$$

Also, a linear block code means that, the sum of any two code vectors is also a code vector. As an example, the cyclic code $C_{b}(7,4)$ described in Table 1.1.

### 2.3.1 Polynomial Representation of Codewords

Instead of vectors, Code vectors of a given cyclic code $C_{c y c}(n, k)$ can be expressed by polynomials. These polynomials are defined over a $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$, and they can be defined over the binary field $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ as a special case. A polynomial representation $c(x)$ of a code vector $c=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)$ is then of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x)=c_{0}+c_{1} x+\ldots+c_{n-1} x^{n-1} \tag{2.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence The $i$ th-position right-shift rotation of a code vector $c$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{(i)}(x)=c_{n-i}+c_{n-i+1} x+\cdots+c_{n-1} x^{i-1}+c_{0} x^{i}+c_{1} x^{i+1}+\cdots+c_{n-i-1} x^{n-1} \tag{2.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dealing with these polynomials mathematically is much easier with dealing with vectors as they can be multiplied and divided easily.

### 2.3.2 Encoding of a Cyclic Code

The relationship between the $i$ th-position right-shift rotated polynomial $c^{(i)}(x)$ and the original code polynomial $c(x)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{i} c(x)=q(x)\left(x^{n}+1\right)+c^{(i)}(x) \tag{2.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{(i)}(x)=x^{i} c(x) \bmod \left(x^{n}+1\right) \tag{2.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

From all the code polynomials of a given cyclic code $C_{c y c}(n, k)$, there is a certain code polynomial of minimum degree [2]. Let this minimum degree equals to $r$, so that in its polynomial expression the coefficient of $x^{r}$ will be exist. Therefore, this polynomial will be of the form $g(x)=g_{0}+g_{1} x+\cdots+x^{r}$.

The minimum degree polynomial is unique as if there is another polynomial with the same degree, this polynomial would be of the form $g_{1}(x)=g_{10}+g_{11} x+$ $\cdots+x^{r}$. But because the cyclic code $C_{c y c}(n, k)$ is a linear block code, the sum of these two code polynomials should be a code polynomial, and this sum will result in a polynomial of degree $(r-1)$, which contradicts the initial assumption that the minimum possible degree is $r$. Hence, the minimum-degree code polynomial
of a given cyclic code $C_{c y c}(n, k)$ is unique.
Also it is possible to prove that the minimum-degree polynomial of a given cyclic code $C_{\text {cyc }}(n, k)$ is monic polynomial, $g_{0}=1$. If the minimum degree polynomial has the form $g_{2}(x)=g_{21} x+g_{22} x^{2}+\ldots+g_{2(r-1)} x^{r-1}+x^{r}$. So if we cyclically shift $g_{2}(x)$ by one place to the left we get another code polynomial $\grave{g}_{2}(x)=g_{21}+g_{22} x+\ldots+g_{2(r-1)} x^{r-1}$ with degree less than $r$ and that contradicts the definition that $g_{2}(x)$ is the non-zero code polynomial of minimum degree.

Then, the expression for such a non-zero minimum-degree polynomial of a given cyclic code $C_{c y c}(n, k)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=1+g_{1} x+\cdots+g_{r-1} x^{r-1}+x^{r} \tag{2.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, polynomials of the form $x g(x), x^{2} g(x), \ldots, x^{n-r-1} g(x)$ are equivalent to shift the polynomial $g(x)$ cyclically so they can be written as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
x g(x) & =g^{(1)}(x) \\
x^{2} g(x) & =g^{(2)}(x)  \tag{2.78}\\
& \vdots \\
x^{n-r-1} g(x) & =g^{(n-r-1)}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

So these polynomials are also code polynomials. Since a cyclic code $C_{c y c}(n, k)$ is also a linear block code, linear combinations of code polynomials are also code polynomials, and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& c(x)=m_{0} g(x)+m_{1} x g(x)+\cdots+m_{n-r-1} x^{n-r-1} g(x) \\
& c(x)=\left(m_{0}+m_{1} x+\cdots+m_{n-r-1} x^{n-r-1}\right) g(x) \tag{2.79}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation 2.79 determines that any code polynomial $c(x)$ is a multiple of the minimum-degree polynomial $g(x)$. This property is the most important for the encoding and decoding of a cyclic code $C_{c y c}(n, k)$. For simplicity we will assume that the coefficients $m_{i}, i=0,1,2, \ldots, n-r-1$, in equation 2.79 belong to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$. Then there will be $2^{n-r}$ code polynomials of degree $n-1$ or less that are multiples of $g(x)$. But in $C_{c y c}(n, k)$ there is $k$ bits message so we have $2^{k}$ possible linear combinations of message. For a dual assignment between the message and the coded vector spaces, there should be $2^{k}$ possible linear combinations of code polynomials. Therefore, $2^{n-r}=2^{k}$ or $r=n-k$. So in general the degree of. The
minimum degree polynomial equals to the number of redundant symbols which are added to the message in encoding process. The minimum-degree polynomial is then of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=1+g_{1} x+\cdots g_{n-k-1} x^{n-k-1}+x^{n-k} \tag{2.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also there another important property for $g(x)$ this property is that the generator polynomial $g(x)$ is factor from $x^{n}+1$ to prove that we will use the following procedure; multiply $g(x)$ by $x^{k}$ so the polynomial $x^{k} g(x)$ has degree of $n$ then divide $x^{k} g(x)$ over $x^{n}+1$ so we will have the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k} g(x)=\left(x^{n}+1\right)+g^{(k)}(x) \tag{2.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g^{(k)}(x)$ is $k$ th cyclic shift of $g(x)$ so it is a code polynomial and also it is multiple of $g(x)$ so we can rewrite equation 2.81 as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{k} g(x) & =\left(x^{n}+1\right)+a(x) g(x) \\
\left(x^{k}+a(x)\right) g(x) & =x^{n}+1 \tag{2.82}
\end{align*}
$$

from equation 2.82 we can say $g(x)$ is factor of $x^{n}+1$.
Summarizing, in a linear cyclic code $C_{c y c}(n, k)$, there is a unique minimumdegree code polynomial, and any other code polynomial is a multiple of this polynomial. The non-zero minimum-degree polynomial is of degree $n-k$, and any other code polynomial of the linear cyclic code $C_{\text {cyc }}(n, k)$ is of degree $n-1$ or less, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x)=m(x) g(x)=\left(m_{0}+m_{1} x+\cdots+m_{k-1} x^{k-1}\right) g(x) \tag{2.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{i}, i=0,1,2, \ldots, k-1$, are the symbols of the message vector. Since the minimum-degree code polynomial generates the linear cyclic code $C_{c y c}(n, k)$, so it is called the generator polynomial.

### 2.3.3 Encoding of Cyclic Codes in Systematic Form

the encoding process for a linear cyclic code $C_{c y c}(n, k)$ has been introduced in equation 2.83 as a multiplication between the message polynomial $m(x)$ and the generator polynomial $g(x)$, and this operation is suitable to generate any code polynomial of the code, but this type of encoding has a big problem. This prob-
lem is summarized in that the message could not be obtained directly from the code polynomial as the code polynomial should be divided over the generator $g(x)$ to get the message $m(x)$ and that makes the decoding process more complicated. So we encode to make the message part of code polynomial and this encoding procedure is called encoding in systematic form. Given a polynomial that satisfies the conditions to be the generator polynomial $g(x)$ of a linear cyclic code $C_{c y c}(n, k)$, and if the message polynomial is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(x)=m_{0}+m_{1} x+\cdots+m_{k-1} x^{k-1} \tag{2.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the systematic version of the linear cyclic code $C_{c y c}(n, k)$ can be obtained by performing the following operations $[2,15,16]$ :

The polynomial $x^{n-k} m(x)=m_{0} x^{n-k}+m_{1} x^{n-k}+\cdots+m_{k-1} x^{n-1}$ is first formed, and then divided by the generator polynomial $g(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n-k} m(x)=a(x) g(x)+p(x) \tag{2.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $p(x)$ is the remainder polynomial of the division of equation 2.85 , which has degree $n-k-1$ or less, since the degree of $g(x)$ is $n-k$. By rearranging equation 2.85, we obtain $x^{n-k} m(x)+p(x)=a(x) g(x)$ where that can be noticed the polynomial $x^{n-k} m(x)+p(x)$ is a code polynomial because it is a multiple of $g(x)$. In this polynomial, the term $x^{n-k} m(x)$ represents the message polynomial but it is right shifted by $n-k$ positions, and $p(x)$ is the remainder polynomial of this division and acts as the redundancy polynomial, and reserving the lower degree terms of the code polynomial $c(x)$. This procedure achieves the objective of encoding in the systematic form

$$
\begin{align*}
c(x)= & x^{n-k} m(x)+p(x)=p_{0}+p_{1} x+\cdots+p_{n-k-1} x^{n-k-1}+ \\
& m_{0} x^{n-k}+m_{1} x^{n-k+1}+\cdots+m_{k-1} x^{n-1} \tag{2.86}
\end{align*}
$$

that when expressed as a code vector is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n-k-1}, m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots m_{k-1}\right) \tag{2.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4 Encoding of Reed Solomon Code

RS codes are a type of linear, non-binary, cyclic block codes [3]. This type is a subfamily of the family of the linear, non-binary, cyclic BCH (Bose, Chaudhuri [17], Hocquenghem [18]) codes which are working over the $\operatorname{GF}(q)$. Here $q$ is a power of a prime number $p_{\text {prime }}, q=p_{\text {prime }}^{m}$, where $m$ is a positive integer. These codes are different from binary codes, which work over GF(2). This is why these codes are also called non-binary codes. All the concepts and properties valid for binary codes are also valid for these non-binary codes.

An RS code $C_{R S}(n, k)$ able to correct any error pattern of size $t$ or less is defined over the Galois field $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$, and it has parameters as shown in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2: RS codes parameters

| Code length | $n=2^{m}-1$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of parity check elements | $n-k=2 t$ |
| Minimum distance | $d_{\min }=2 t+1$ |
| Error-correction capability | $t$ element errors per code vector |

An RS code $C_{R S}(n, k)$ of length $n$ and dimension $k$ is the linear, cyclic, block RS code generated by the polynomial

$$
\begin{align*}
g(x) & =(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha^{n-k}\right) \\
& =(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha^{2 t}\right)  \tag{2.88}\\
& =g_{0}+g_{1} x+g_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+g_{2 t-1} x^{2 t-1}+g_{2 t} x^{2 t}
\end{align*}
$$

An RS code can be equivalently defined as the set of code polynomials $c(x)$ over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ of degree $\operatorname{deg}\{c(x)\} \leq n-1$ that have $\alpha, \alpha^{2}, \ldots, \alpha^{n-k}$ as their roots [17]. Therefore $c(x) \in C_{R S}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\alpha)=c\left(\alpha^{2}\right)=c\left(\alpha^{3}\right)=\cdots=c\left(\alpha^{2 t}\right)=0 \text { where } \operatorname{deg}\{c(x)\} \leq n-1 \tag{2.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4.1 RS Codes in Systematic Form

An RS code generated by a given generator polynomial of the form of 2.88 is a linear and cyclic block RS code $C_{R S}(n, n-2 t)$ consisting of code polynomials $c(x)$ of degree $n-1$ or less. All these polynomials coefficients belong to GF $\left(2^{m}\right)$. Code
polynomials are multiples of the generator polynomial $g(x)$, thus containing all its roots. A message polynomial is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(x)=m_{0}+m_{1} x+\cdots+m_{k-1} x^{k-1} \tag{2.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

This message polynomial is also formed with coefficients that are elements of $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$. The systematic form for these codes is obtained in the same way as for binary cyclic codes, that is, by obtaining the remainder $p(x)$ of the division of $x^{n-k} m(x)$ by $g(x)$ as stated in equation 2.85

### 2.4.2 Implementation of RS Encoder

In hardware implementation, equation 2.86 is accomplished by using division circuit as shown in figure 2.7. As soon as the message $m(x)$ has entered to the circuit, the parity-check symbols are in the register.


Figure 2.7: The LFSR architecture of RS encoder.

## Chapter 3

## REED-SOLOMON DECODER

When a received codeword (code vector) is fed to the RS decoder at the receiver for processing, the decoder first tries to verify that is this codeword valid codewords or not. If it dose not, errors must have occurred during transmission over a communication channel. This part of the decoder processing is called error detection. If errors are detected, the decoder attempts to correct it. This called error correction.


Figure 3.1: Block Diagram of RS Decoder

Figure 3.1 shows the basic block diagram of a decoder for RS codes. The decoder consists of digital circuits and processing elements to accomplish the following tasks:

1- Syndrome computation used for error detection.
2- Key equation solver used to find the coefficients of error-location polynomial $\sigma(x)$ and error-evaluation polynomial $W(x)$.

3- Find the roots of the error location polynomial $\sigma(x)$ using Chien search algorithm.

4- Find the error values using Forney algorithm.
5-Correct the received codeword with the error locations and values found.

### 3.1 Syndrome Calculation

The syndrome calculation is the first step in RS decoding process. The function of syndrome block is to detect if there are any errors in the received codeword. Assume that the transmitted codeword polynomial $c(x)$ is :

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x)=c_{0}+c_{1} x+\cdots+c_{n-1} x^{n-1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This codeword is transmitted and affected by noise in channel, and modeled as a received polynomial $r(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x)=r_{0}+r_{1} x+\cdots+r_{n-1} x^{n-1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is related to the error polynomial $e(x)$ and the codeword polynomial $c(x)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x)=c(x)+e(x) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the error pattern $e(x)$ added by the channel is expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(x)=e_{0}+e_{1} x+\ldots+e_{n-1} x^{n-1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{i}=r_{i}-c_{i}$ is a symbol form $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$.

From equation 2.79 that can be noticed every valid codeword polynomial $c(x)$ is a multiple of the generator polynomial $g(x)$. Therefore, the roots of $g(x)$ must also be the roots of $c(x)$. From equation 3.5, the received polynomial $r(x)$ evaluated at each of the roots of $g(x)$ should equals to zero only when it is a valid codeword. Any errors will result in one or more of the computations yielding a non-zero result. So the computation of syndrome symbol can be described as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}=r\left(\alpha^{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, 2 t \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}, \ldots, \alpha^{2 t}$ are the roots of $g(x)$. If $r(x)$ was a valid codeword,
it would cause each syndrome symbol $S_{i}$ to equal to zero, or, if one or more syndromes are non-zero, errors have been detected.

### 3.2 The Decoding Algorithm

The relation between the code polynomial $c(x)$, received polynomial $r(x)$, and the error polynomial $e(x)$ can be stated as follows [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x)=r(x)+e(x) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

All these polynomials are defined with coefficients over $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$. Let us assume that the error vector contains $\tau$ non-zero elements, representing an error pattern of $\tau$ errors placed at positions $x^{j_{1}}, x^{j_{2}}, \ldots, x^{j_{\tau}}$, where $0 \leq j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{\tau} \leq n-1$. The error-location number is then defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{l}=\alpha^{j_{l}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l=1,2,3, \ldots, \tau$.
The syndrome vector components are calculated, as was stated in equation 3.5 , by replacing the variable $x$ in the received polynomial $r(x)$ with the roots $\alpha^{i}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, 2 t$. It is then true that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i}=r\left(\alpha^{i}\right)=c\left(\alpha^{i}\right)+e\left(\alpha^{i}\right)=e\left(\alpha^{i}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, a system of $2 t$ equations can be formed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{1} & =r(\alpha)=e(\alpha)=e_{j_{1}} \beta_{1}+e_{j_{2}} \beta_{2}+\cdots+e_{j_{\tau}} \beta_{\tau} \\
s_{2} & =r\left(\alpha^{2}\right)=e\left(\alpha^{2}\right)=e_{j_{1}} \beta_{1}^{2}+e_{j_{2}} \beta_{2}^{2}+\cdots+e_{j_{\tau}} \beta_{\tau}^{2} \\
& \vdots  \tag{3.9}\\
s_{2 t} & =r\left(\alpha^{2 t}\right)=e\left(\alpha^{2 t}\right)=e_{j_{1}} \beta_{1}^{2 t}+e_{j_{2}} \beta_{1}^{2 t}+\cdots+e_{j_{\tau}} \beta_{\tau}^{2 t}
\end{align*}
$$

So now we have $2 \tau$ unknowns which are $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{\tau}, e_{j_{1}}, e_{j_{2}}, \ldots, e_{j_{\tau}}$ and at worst case $\tau=t$. These unkowns are the positions and the values of errors. So any algorithm solves the set of equations 3.9 can be considered as a decoding algorithm for RS codes.

But In order to decode RS code, the following polynomials will be defined:
The error-location polynomial $\sigma(x)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma(x) & =\left(x-\alpha^{-j_{1}}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{-j_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha^{-j_{\tau}}\right)=\prod_{l=1}^{\tau}\left(x-\alpha^{-j_{l}}\right) \\
& =\sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1} x+\cdots+\sigma_{\tau} x^{\tau} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and the error-evaluation polynomial $W(x)$ also can be defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
W(x) & =\sum_{l=1}^{\tau} e_{j_{l}} \prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^{\tau}\left(x-\alpha^{-j i}\right) \\
& =W_{0}+W_{1} x+\cdots+W_{\tau-1} x^{\tau-1} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The error values can be calculated from

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j_{l}}=\frac{W\left(\alpha^{j_{l}}\right)}{\grave{\sigma}\left(\alpha^{j_{l}}\right)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\grave{\sigma}(x)$ is the first derivative of the polynomial $\sigma(x)$ with respect to $x$. Polynomials $\sigma(x)$ and $W(x)$ are relative prime, since from the way they are defined, they do not have common roots.

By using polynomials $\sigma(x)$ and $W(x)$ the algorithm can calculate the positions of the errors and their values by using equation 3.12. Also, the syndrome polynomial of degree $2 t-1$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x)=s_{1}+s_{2} x+s_{3} x^{2}+\cdots+s_{2 t} x^{2 t-1}=\sum_{j=0}^{2 t-1} s_{j+1} x^{j} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $S(x)=0$, the received polynomial is a code polynomial or contains an undetectable error pattern.

### 3.2.1 The Key Equation

The key equation is that equation relates polynomials $\sigma(x), S(x)$, and $W(x)$. The solution of this equation is a decoding algorithm for a RS code [2]. This equation can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x) S(x)=-W(x)+\mu(x) x^{2 t} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also it can be written like that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\sigma(x) S(x)+W(x)\} \bmod \left(x^{2 t}\right)=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu(x)$ is an auxiliary polynomial.
This key equation can be proved as follows:
By rewriting the syndrome polynomial $S(x)$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
S(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{2 t-1} s_{j+1} x^{j}=\sum_{j=0}^{2 t-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} e_{j i} \alpha^{j i(j+1)}\right) x^{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} e_{j i} \alpha^{j i} \sum_{j=0}^{2 t-1}\left(\alpha^{j i} x\right)^{j} \\
S(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} e_{j i} \alpha^{j i} \frac{\left(\alpha^{j i} x\right)^{2 t}-1}{\left(\alpha^{j i} x\right)-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} e_{j i} \frac{\left(\alpha^{j i} x\right)^{2 t}-1}{x-\alpha^{-j i}} \tag{3.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma(x) S(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} e_{j i} \sigma^{(\mu)}(x) \frac{\left(\alpha^{j i} x\right)^{2 t}-1}{x-\alpha^{-j i}} \prod_{l=1}^{\tau}\left(x-\alpha^{-j l}\right) \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} e_{j i}\left[\left(\alpha^{j i} x\right)^{2 t}-1\right] \prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^{\tau}\left(x-\alpha^{-j l}\right) \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} e_{j i} \prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^{\tau}\left(x-\alpha^{-j l}\right)+\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} e_{j i} \alpha^{j i(2 t)} \prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^{\tau}\left(x-\alpha^{-j l}\right)\right]  \tag{3.17}\\
=-W(x)+\mu(x) x^{2 t}
\end{gather*}
$$

For convenience some algorithms deals with equation 3.17 in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(S(x) \sigma(x)+W(x)) \bmod x^{2 t}=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Many algorithms are used, to solve this equation, Euclidean algorithm, BerlerkampMassey algorithm, and PGZ algorithm which will be described in the following sections.

### 3.2.2 Decoding of RS Codes Using the Euclidean Algorithm

The Euclidean algorithm is an algorithm that calculates the greatest common divisor (GCD) $r$ of any two numbers $a$ and $b, r=\operatorname{GCD}(a, b)$ [2]. It also gets two integer numbers, or for our application, two polynomials $s$ and $t$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=s a+t b \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $s$, and $t$ are two polynomials are calculated by the algorithm to get the

GCD. So Euclidean algorithm is suitabel for solving the key equation 3.18, but we will rewrite this equation to be suitable for the algorithm

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mu(x) x^{2 t}+\sigma(x) S(x)=-W(x) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we can say that $W(x)=\operatorname{GCD}\left(S(x), x^{2 t}\right), s=\mu(x)$, and $t=\sigma(x)$.
Now we will write the algorithm in recursive form to be easy implemented.
Let there are two polynomials $a$ and $b$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(a) \geq \operatorname{deg}(b)$ and we want to apply Euclidean algorithm on them to get the GCD $r$ and $s$ and $t$ polynomials to saitsfy the following equation 3.19.

Initialization:
$r_{-1}=a$ and $r_{0}=b$, where the value $r_{i}$ is obtained as the remainder of the division of $r_{i-2}$ by $r_{i-1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i-2}=q_{i} r_{i-1}+r_{i} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}\left(r_{i}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(r_{i-1}\right)$.

By rearranging equation 3.21

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=r_{i-2}-q_{i} r_{i-1} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The algorithm usually tries to satisfy the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=s_{i} a+t_{i} b \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i}$ and $t_{i}$ represents $s$ and $t$ polynomials in $i$ th step.
The recursion 3.22 is also valid for coefficients $s_{i}$ and $t_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{i} & =s_{i-2}-q_{i} s_{i-1}  \tag{3.24}\\
t_{i} & =t_{i-2}-q_{i} t_{i-1} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

So initially

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{-1} & =a=(1) a+(0) b  \tag{3.26}\\
r_{0} & =b=(0) a+(1) b \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

and the initial conditions are

$$
s_{-1}=1, \quad t_{-1}=0
$$

By applying Euclidean algorithm on the key equation 3.20.
The given polynomials are $x^{2 t}$ and $S(x)$, and the $i$ th recursion is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}(x)=s_{i}(x) x^{2 t}+t_{i}(x) S(x) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equation 3.28 by a constant $\lambda \in \mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda r_{i}(x) & =\lambda s_{i}(x) x^{2 t}+\lambda t_{i}(x) S(x)  \tag{3.29}\\
& =-W(x)=-\mu(x) x^{2 t}+\sigma(x) S(x)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(r_{i}(x)\right)<t \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{gather*}
W(x)=-\lambda r_{i}(x) \\
\sigma(x)=\lambda t_{i}(x) \tag{3.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a constant that makes the resulting polynomial be a monic polynomial.

### 3.2.3 Decoding of RS Codes Using Berlekamp-Massey Algorithm

The Berlekamp-Massey (B-M) algorithm [2], [4] is another algorithm to decode RS and BCH codes. First, this algorithm assumes binary errors. Then it gets the error location polynomial as this polynomial depends only on the error locations so there is no need to take the error values into consideration. So equations 3.9 will be modified to

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{1} & =r(\alpha)=e(\alpha)=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\cdots+\beta_{\tau} \\
s_{2} & =r\left(\alpha^{2}\right)=e\left(\alpha^{2}\right)=\beta_{1}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}+\cdots+\beta_{\tau}^{2} \\
& \vdots  \tag{3.32}\\
s_{2 t} & =r\left(\alpha^{2 t}\right)=e\left(\alpha^{2 t}\right)=\beta_{1}^{2 t}+\beta_{2}^{2 t}+\cdots+\beta_{\tau}^{2 t}
\end{align*}
$$

The error location polynomial has a different definition with respect to expression 3.10 , which is the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{B M}(x) & =\left(1-\beta_{1} x\right)\left(1-\beta_{2} x\right) \cdots\left(1-\beta_{\tau} x\right)  \tag{3.33}\\
& =\sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1} x+\cdots+\sigma_{\tau} x^{\tau}
\end{align*}
$$

The roots of this polynomial are $\beta_{1}^{-1}, \beta_{2}^{-1}, \ldots, \beta_{\tau}^{-1}$. This modified definition is more suitable for the description of the B-M algorithm.

From equation 3.33 the coefficients of the error location polynomial $\sigma(x)$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{0} & =1  \tag{3.34}\\
\sigma_{1} & =\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\cdots+\beta_{\tau} \\
\sigma_{2} & =\beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\beta_{2} \beta_{3}+\cdots+\beta_{\tau} \beta_{\tau-1} \\
& \vdots \\
\sigma_{\tau} & =\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \ldots \beta_{\tau}
\end{align*}
$$

The set of equations 3.34 can be related to the equations 3.32 as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s_{1} & +\sigma_{1}=0 \\
s_{2} & +\sigma_{1} s_{1}=0 \\
s_{3} & +\sigma_{1} s_{2}+\sigma_{2} s_{1}+\sigma_{3}=0  \tag{3.35}\\
\vdots & \\
s_{\tau} & +\sigma_{1} s_{\tau}+\cdots+\sigma_{\tau-1} s_{2}+\sigma_{\tau} s_{1}=0
\end{array}
$$

These equations are called Newton identities [17]. Thus, for example,
$s_{2}+\sigma_{1} s_{1}=\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(\beta_{\tau}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\cdots+\beta_{\tau}\right)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\cdots+\beta_{\tau}\right)=0$
since in $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ the products $\beta_{i} \beta_{j}+\beta_{j} \beta_{i}=0$. The remaining Newton identities can be derived in the same way.

B-M algorithm gets the error location polynomial $\sigma(x)$ first, then it subtitutes by it in the key equation to get the error evaluator polynomial $W(x)$.

## The Error-Location Polynomial $\sigma(x)$

The B-M algorithm mainly finds the coefficients of the error-location polynomial, $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{\tau}$, then substitutes by these coefficients in the key equation to find the coefficients of error evaluation polynomial. The B-M algorithm uses the syndrome vector components $S=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{2 t}\right)$ to find the coefficients $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{\tau}$ of the error-location polynomial, whose roots are the inverses of the error-location numbers $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{\tau}$. The error values at these positions should be calculated, to correct the error. As said above, the core of the B-M algorithm is an iterative method for calculating the error-location polynomial coefficients $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{\tau}$ then it gets the error evaluation polynomial coefficients $W_{0}, W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\tau-1}$ directly from the key equation.

The algorithm mainly has two steps as follows [2]:
The first step is calculating a minimum-degree polynomial $\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu)}(x)$ that satisfies the $\mu$ th Newton identity described in equation 3.35. The second step is substituting by $\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu)}(x)$ in the $(\mu+1)$ th Newton identity in equation 3.35. If this polynomial satisfies the $(\mu+1)$ th Newton identity, then $\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu)}(x)=\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu+1)}(x)$. Oth-
erwise the decoding algorithm adds a correction term which is called discrepancy $d_{\mu}$ to $\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu)}(x)$ to get the polynomial $\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu+1)}(x)$, which satisfies the first $\mu$ Newton identities.

After $2 t$ iteration steps the algorithm is halted and the final version of error location polynomial $\sigma_{B M}(x)$ equals to $2 t$ th iteration polynomial $\sigma_{B M}^{(2 t)}(x)$.

Since the last polynomial satisfies the whole set of Newton identities described in equation 3.35. This algorithm can be implemented in iterative form. The minimum-degree polynomial obtained in the $\mu$ th iteration and satisfies the first $\mu$ Newton identities, is $\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu)}(x)$, and can be written in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu)}(x)=1+\sigma_{1}^{(\mu)} x+\sigma_{2}^{(\mu)} x^{2}+\cdots+\sigma_{l_{\mu}}^{(\mu)} x^{l \mu} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{\mu}$ is the degree of the polynomial $\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu)}(x)$. The $\mu$ th discrepancy $d_{\mu}$ can be obtained by using the following equation [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mu}=s_{\mu+1}+\sigma_{1}^{(\mu)} s_{\mu}+\sigma_{2}^{(\mu)} s_{\mu-1}+\cdots+\sigma_{l_{\mu}}^{(\mu)} s_{\mu+1-l_{\mu}} \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the discrepancy $d_{\mu}$ does not equal to zero, $d_{\mu} \neq 0$, the minimum-degree polynomial $\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu)}(x)$ does not satisfy the $(\mu+1)$ th Newton identity, and the discrepancy $d_{\mu}$ is used to get $\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu+1)}(x)$ which satisfies the $(\mu+1)$ th Newton identity. In the calculation of $\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu+1)}(x)$, the algorithm comes back to a previous step $\rho$ of the iteration, with respect to $\mu$, such that its discrepancy $d_{\rho}$ does not equal to zero, $d_{\rho} \neq 0$ and $\rho-l_{\rho}$ is a maximum. Where the number $l_{\rho}$ is the degree of the polynomial $\sigma_{B M}^{(\rho)}(x)$.

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu+1)}(x)=\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu)}(x)+d_{\mu} d_{\rho}^{-1} x^{(\mu-\rho)} \sigma_{B M}^{(\rho)}(x) \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The B-M algorithm can be stated in the form of a table, as given in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1: B-M algorithm table for determining the error-location polynomial

| $\mu$ | $\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu)}$ | $d_{\mu}$ | $l_{\mu}$ | $\mu-l_{\mu}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| 0 | 1 | $s_{1}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2 t$ |  |  |  |  |

So calculation of minimum-degree polynomial $\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu+1)}(x)$ in iteration $\mu+1$ can be summarized as follows:

$$
\text { If } d_{\mu}=0 \text { then } \sigma_{B M}^{(\mu+1)}(x)=\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu)}(x), l_{\mu+1}=l_{\mu}
$$

If $d_{\mu} \neq 0$, the algorithm comes back to a previous row $\rho$, such that $d_{\rho} \neq 0$ and $\rho-l_{\rho}$ is maximum.

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu+1)}(x) & =\sigma_{B M}^{(\mu)}(x)+d_{\mu} d_{\rho}^{-1} x^{(\mu-\rho)} \sigma^{(\rho)}(x), \\
l_{\mu+1} & =\max \left(l_{\mu}, l_{\rho}+\mu-\rho\right) \\
d_{\mu+1} & =s_{\mu+2}+\sigma_{1}^{(\mu+1)} s_{\mu+1}+\sigma_{2}^{(\mu+1)} s_{\mu}+\cdots+\sigma_{l_{\mu+1}}^{(\mu+1)} s_{\mu+2-l_{\mu}} \tag{3.39}
\end{align*}
$$

In general, if the degree of $\sigma_{B M}^{(2 t)}(x)$ is larger than $t$, this polynomial has no meaning as its roots do not correspond to the inverses of the real error-location numbers, since the number of error elements is more than $t$ elements which is over the error-correction capability of the code.

After the calculation of the error-location polynomial, the roots of this polynomial are calculated by applying the Chien search, which will be explained later.

## The Error-Evaluation Polynomial $W(x)$

RS codes are non-binary codes, where the error values are non-binary, so we need to calculate not only the position but also the value of an error, to perform error correction. Once the B-M algorithm calculates the error-location polynomial, it substitutes by this polynomial in equation 3.18 to get the error evaluation polynomial, then error values can be calculated using equation 3.12. But there is an another method [18] for evaluating error values. This method requires the definition of the error evaluation polynomial in a different way [17]:

$$
\begin{align*}
W(x)= & 1+\left(s_{1}+\sigma_{1}\right) x+\left(s_{2}+\sigma_{1} s_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right) x^{2}+\cdots \\
& +\left(s_{\tau}+\sigma_{1} s_{\tau-1}+\sigma_{2} s_{\tau-2}+\cdots+\sigma_{\tau}\right) x^{\tau} \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation 3.12 is modified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j_{i}}=\frac{W\left(\beta_{i}^{-1}\right)}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{\tau}\left(1+\beta_{k} \beta_{i}^{-1}\right)} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

So B-M algorithm called serial architecture [19] as the error locator polynomial is calculated first then the error evaluator polynomial is computed. After the explanation of B-M algorithm, we can conclude that this algorithm is suitable for low or moderate rate applications like CDs, DVDs, HDTV,.....etc. But it's not suitable for high rat applications like optical communication so this algorithm is not used in this thesis.

### 3.2.4 Decoding of RS Codes Using Peterson-GorensteinZierler (PGZ) Algorithm

The PGZ algorithm is one of the decoding algorithms which solves equation 3.18. The algorithm includes two main steps [20]. Solving Newton identity is the first step, where equation 3.35 can be written in matrix form:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
s_{2} & s_{3} & \cdots & s_{t+1}  \tag{3.42}\\
s_{3} & s_{4} & \cdots & s_{t+2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
s_{t+1} & s_{t+2} & \cdots & s_{2 t}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{t-1} \\
\sigma_{t-2} \\
\vdots \\
\sigma_{0}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
s_{1} \\
s_{2} \\
\vdots \\
s_{t}
\end{array}\right]
$$

That is, the syndrome values are used to solve equation 3.42.
Define the error location polynomial as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x)=\sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1} x+\cdots+\sigma_{t-1} x^{t-1}+x^{t} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we can solve the Key equation 3.18, where the error value polynomial is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=W_{0}+W_{1} x+\cdots+W_{t-1} x^{t-1} \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

PGZ Algorithm for $t=1$
Given $t=1$, from equation 3.42, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[s_{2}\right]\left[\sigma_{0}\right]=\left[s_{1}\right] \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{0}=\frac{s_{1}}{s_{2}} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we can solve the key equation 3.18 for $t=1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=-\left(\sigma_{0}+x\right)\left(s_{1}+s_{2} x\right) \bmod x^{2} \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the error value polynomial is

$$
\begin{align*}
W(x) & =W_{0}  \tag{3.48}\\
W_{0} & =\sigma_{0} s_{1} \tag{3.49}
\end{align*}
$$

PGZ Algorithm for $t=2$
Given $t=2$, equation 3.42 is reduced to

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
s_{2} & s_{3}  \tag{3.50}\\
s_{3} & s_{4}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{0} \\
\sigma_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
s_{1} \\
s_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{0} & =\frac{s_{1} s_{2}+s_{2}^{2}}{s_{2} s_{4}+s_{3}^{2}} \\
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{s_{2} s_{3}+s_{1} s_{4}}{s_{2} s_{4}+s_{3}^{2}} \tag{3.51}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the error location polynomial can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x)=\sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1} x+x^{2} \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the key equation for $t=2$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=-\left(\sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1} x+x^{2}\right)\left(s_{1}+s_{2} x+s_{3} x^{2}\right) \bmod x^{4} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the error value polynomial can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=W_{0}+W_{1} x \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{0}=\sigma_{0} s_{1} \\
& W_{1}=\sigma_{0} s_{2}+\sigma_{1} s_{1} \tag{3.55}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathbf{P G Z}$ Algorithm for $t=3$

Similarly, for $t=3$, we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
s_{2} & s_{3} & s_{4}  \tag{3.56}\\
s_{3} & s_{4} & s_{5} \\
s_{4} & s_{5} & s_{6}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{2} \\
\sigma_{1} \\
\sigma_{0}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
s_{1} \\
s_{2} \\
s_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The coefficients of the error location polynomial can be solved as

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{0} & =\frac{s_{1} s_{3} s_{5}+s_{1} s_{4}^{2}+s_{3}^{3}}{s_{2} s_{4} s_{6}+s_{4}^{3}+s_{3}^{2} s_{6}+s_{2} s_{5}^{2}} \\
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{s_{2}^{2} s_{6}+s_{1} s_{4} s_{5}+s_{3}^{2} s_{4}+s_{2} s_{4}^{2}+s_{1} s_{3} s_{6}+s_{2} s_{3} s_{5}}{s_{2} s_{4} s_{6}+s_{4}^{3}+s_{3}^{2} s_{6}+s_{2} s_{5}^{2}}  \tag{3.57}\\
\sigma_{2} & =\frac{s_{1} s_{4} s_{6}+s_{2} s_{4} s_{5}+s_{3}^{2} s_{5}+s_{1} s_{5}^{2}+s_{2} s_{3} s_{6}+s_{3} s_{4}^{2}}{s_{2} s_{4} s_{6}+s_{4}^{3}+s_{3}^{2} s_{6}+s_{2} s_{5}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the error location polynomial can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x)=\sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1} x+\sigma_{2} x^{2}+x^{3} \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The key equation for $t=3$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=-\left(\sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1} x+\sigma_{2} x^{2}+x^{3}\right)\left(s_{1}+s_{2} x+s_{3} x^{2}+s_{4} x^{3}+s_{5} x^{4}+s_{6} x^{5}\right) \bmod x^{6} \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the error value polynomial can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=W_{0}+W_{1} x+W_{2} x^{2} \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{0}=\sigma_{0} s_{1} \\
& W_{1}=\sigma_{0} s_{2}+\sigma_{1} s_{1}  \tag{3.61}\\
& W_{2}=\sigma_{0} s_{3}+\sigma_{1} s_{2}+\sigma_{2} s_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

That is clear, equation 3.57 is very complicated in compared with equations 3.46 and 3.51. So the direct implementation of equation 3.57 will be complicated. Hence, PGZ algorithm is very efficient to correct a small number of errors $(t<3)$. However, it becomes too complicated when the number of errors is relatively large.

### 3.3 Comparison of Decoding Algorithms

### 3.3.1 Complexity

In this chapter, algorithms of Reed-Solomon decoders have been considered. We compare the different algorithms with regard to circuit complexity. For $t \leq 2$, the PGZ has the best choice. But the hardware complexity of Euclidean algorithm is larger than Berlekamp-Massey algorithm as Euclidean algorithm needs divider module to implement equation 3.22 and multiplier module to implement equation 3.25. Then we can make the conclusion:

The circuit complexity : PGZ $>$ Euclidean $>$ Berlekamp-Massey

### 3.3.2 Critical path

We also compare the different algorithms with regard to the timing delay for minimum delay, Berlekamp-Massey algorithm has the best choice as it has lower complexity. So we can conclude that :

The critical path : Euclidean $>$ PGZ $>$ Berlekamp-Massey

### 3.3.3 Latency

If we compare between the different algorithms with regard to the latency in clock cycles. Berlekamp-Massey algorithm has larger latency in clock cycles in compared with Euclidean algorithm. As it is iterative algorithm so its latency is $O\left(t^{2}\right)$ So

Euclidean algorithm is suitable for multi-channels application as it can be shared between more than one channel. So we can make this conclusion :

$$
\text { The latency (clock cycles) : Berlekamp-Massey }>\text { Euclidean }>\text { PGZ }
$$

### 3.3.4 Power consumption

We also compare the different algorithms with regard to the power consumption. For minimum power consumption, the berlekamp-Massey algorithm has the the best choice. We can make the conclusion :

The power consumption : PGZ $>$ Euclidean $>$ Berlekamp-Massey

For comparisons of Euclidean algorithm and Berlekamp-Massey algorithm, of the chip size is a important factor, Berlekamp algorithm is the best choice. If the processing speed is an important factor, we suggest to choose the euclidean algorithm. So as we are targeting multi-channel application, we used Euclidean algorithm.

### 3.4 Chien Search

The next step in RS decoding process is to find the roots of the error location polynomial $\sigma(x)$, which is a polynomial whose roots are constructed to be the inverses of the locations where the errors occurred. We can not get the roots of the error location polynomial directly. So, we will perform an exhaustive search by substituting by all the finite field elements in the error location polynomial $\sigma(x)$ and checking for the condition $\sigma\left(\alpha^{i}\right)=0$ is satisfied or not. The Chien search is effective algorithm is to do this exhaustive search in an efficient manner.

For example, assume that the error location polynomial is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x)=1+\sigma_{1} x+\sigma_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+\sigma_{t} x^{t} \tag{3.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

We evaluate $\sigma(x)$ at each non-zero element in $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ in succession:

$$
x=\alpha, \quad x=\alpha^{2}, \ldots, x=\alpha^{2^{m}-1}
$$

This gives us the following

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma(\alpha) & =1+\sigma_{1}(\alpha)+\sigma_{2}(\alpha)^{2}+\cdots+\sigma_{t}(\alpha)^{t} \\
\sigma\left(\alpha^{2}\right) & =1+\sigma_{1}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)+\sigma_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\sigma_{t}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)^{t}  \tag{3.63}\\
& \vdots \\
\sigma\left(\alpha^{2^{m}-1}\right) & =1+\sigma_{1}\left(\alpha^{2^{m}-1}\right)+\sigma_{2}\left(\alpha^{2^{m}-1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\sigma_{t}\left(\alpha^{2^{m}-1}\right)^{t}
\end{align*}
$$

If in the above performed substitutions we obtained $\sigma\left(\alpha^{i}\right)=0$, then the exponent of the inverse of the root $\alpha^{i}$ is equal to the error location index $i$.

### 3.5 Forney Algorithm

After calculating the error location polynomial by the key equation solver and its roots using Chien search , there is still one more step in the RS decoding [2]:
we have to find the error values. In general, this is done using the Forney algorithm as shown in equation 3.12.

So after calculating the error locations and error values, we can form the error polynomial $e(x)$ and correct the received polynomial $r(x)$ just by adding (with XOR operation) these two polynomials together, as shown in figure 3.1.

## Chapter 4

## CONFIGURABLE

MULTI-CHANNEL REED-SOLOMON DECODER

### 4.1 Architectures of Syndrome computation

### 4.1.1 Serial architecture

As explained in the previous chapter the received vector can be presented as a polynomial as expressed in equation 3.2. So The syndrome polynomial $S(x)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{2 t} S_{i} x^{i-1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}=r\left(\alpha^{i}\right)=r_{0}+r_{1}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{1}+r_{2}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{2}+\cdots+r_{n-1}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{n-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If all $2 t$ syndromes are zeros, then the received polynomial $r(x)$ is a valid codeword $c(x)$, that is, no detectable occurred. So from equation 4.2 the syndrome polynomial can be computed in $n$ clock cycles as the received codeword enters serially to syndrome block from highest coefficient to the lowest coefficient, i.e. $r_{n-1}$ enters first then $r_{n-2}$ and so on. So we will have $2 t$ syndrome cells and each cell substitutes by $\alpha^{i}$ in the received polynomial as shown in figure 4.1. Each syndrome cell has a multiplier by constant multiplying by $\alpha^{i}$ so after $n$ clock cycles $r_{n-1}$ is multiplied by $\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{n-1}$ as shown in figure 4.2 which illustrates the
serial syndrome cell.


Figure 4.1: Serial syndrome


Figure 4.2: Serial syndrome cell

### 4.1.2 Two Parallel architecture

The syndrome coefficient can be computed in $\frac{n}{2}$ clock cycles if the received codeword enters two symbols by two symbols but this needs a modification to equation 4.2 as follows [21]:

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{i} & =\left(\ldots \left(\left(\left(r_{n-1}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{2}+r_{n-2}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)+r_{n-3}\right)\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{2}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(r_{n-4}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)+r_{n-5}\right)\right)\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{2}+\left(r_{n-6}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)+r_{n-7}\right)\right)\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{2}  \tag{4.3}\\
& +\ldots+r_{1} \alpha^{i}+r_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation 4.3 it is clear that if $n$ is odd number, the odd symbols are multiplied by $\alpha^{i}$, the even symbols are multiplied by one except $r_{n-1}$ is multiplied by $\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{2}$, and the result of the summation is multiplied by $\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{2}$. So we have two paths for the received polynomial one for the even symbols and the other for odd symbols and usually we have a pair of symbol one odd and the other even except $r_{n-1}$ will enter with zero as shown in figure 4.3.


Figure 4.3: Two parallel Syndrome

Figure 4.4 shows that, each syndrome cell has two multipliers by constant one of them multiplies by $\alpha^{i}$ and the other multiplies by $\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{2}$. Initially the selection signal Sel equals to zero for first clock cycle to multiply $r_{n-1}$ by $\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$ and then it equals to one for the remaining clock cycles to multiply $\left(r_{\text {odd }} \alpha^{i}+r_{\text {even }}\right)$ by $\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{2}$ [21].


Figure 4.4: Two Parallel syndrome cell

### 4.1.3 The new Configurable architecture

The two parallel syndrome architecture can be configured to work either in serial mode or in two parallel mode by designing a new configurable syndrome cell as shown in figure 4.5 .


Figure 4.5: Configurable syndrome cell

If the selection signals sel1 and sel2 are low, the received codeword enters serially through $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$, the latency of syndrome block is $n$ clock cycles, as the architecture will be like figure 4.2. But if the selection signal sel1 is high and sel2 is low for the first clock cycle, then both of them are high for the remaining clock cycles, and the received codeword enters two symbols by two symbols through $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{b}}$, the latency will be $\frac{n}{2}$ clock cycles as the cell will be converted to two parallel cell as shown in figure 4.4.

### 4.2 Hardware Implementation of Euclidean Algorithm

The overall block diagram of RS decoder using Euclidean algorithm is shown in figure 4.6. The architecture consists of two parts:

1- Euclidean Divider Module to implement ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=r_{i-2}-q_{i} r_{i-1} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

2- Euclidean Multiply Module to implement,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}=t_{i-2}-q_{i} t_{i-1} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.6: Block diagram of the Euclidean architecture.

### 4.2.1 Euclidean Division Module

The Euclidean division module performs the division $r_{i-2} / r_{i-1}$ in each iteration in equation 4.4. It generates the quotient $q_{i-1}$ and stores the new remainder $r_{i}$. The quotient $q_{i-1}$ is used in the Euclidean multiply module to compute equation 4.5. An Euclidean divider module is shown in figure 4.7. This polynomial division architecture includes two major components, the EAdiv A and EAdiv B modules respectively [22]. These architectures are showed in figure 4.8.


Figure 4.7: Overall architecture of the Euclidean divider module.


Figure 4.8: EAdiv A and EAdiv B of the Euclidean divider module.

### 4.2.2 Euclidean Multiply Module

The Euclidean multiply achieves the multiplication and accumulation in the polynomial in equation 4.5. It is used to obtain error location polynomial $\sigma(x)$. The Euclidean multiply module is shown in figure 4.9. The coefficients of the quotient $Q(x)$ are input sequentially from the higher coefficients. The polynomial multiply architecture includes one major component of the EAmulC module, as shown in figure 4.10.


Figure 4.9: Block diagram of the Euclidean multiply module.


Figure 4.10: Architecture of the EAmul C module in the Euclidean multiply operation.

### 4.3 Architectures of Chien search block

### 4.3.1 Serial architecture

The chien search block substitutes in the error locator polynomial and error evaluator polynomial by all the field elements as shown in equation 3.63. We have $t$ cells to get $\sigma\left(\alpha^{i}\right)$ and $t-1$ cells to get $W\left(\alpha^{i}\right)$ as $\sigma(x)$ has order $t$ and $W(x)$ has order $t-1$ as shown in figure 4.11.

Also the role of Chien search block is to get $\grave{\sigma}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)$ but

$$
\begin{align*}
\grave{\sigma}(x) & =0 \sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1}+2 \sigma_{2} x+\cdots+t \sigma_{t} x^{t-1} \\
& =\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{3} x^{2}+\cdots+(t-1) \sigma_{t-1} x^{t-2}  \tag{4.6}\\
& =\sigma_{\text {odd }}(x) / x
\end{align*}
$$

So the Chien search block gets also $\sigma_{\text {odd }}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)$. The serial Chien search cell is similar to the serial syndrome cell as it has the same function as shown in fig. 4.12


Figure 4.11: Serial Chien search $(t=8)$.


Figure 4.12: Serial Chien search cell

### 4.3.2 Two Parallel architecture

In the serial architecture of Chien search at each clock cycle we get a value for $\sigma\left(\alpha^{i}\right), \grave{\sigma}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)$, and $W\left(\alpha^{i}\right)$ so we need $n$ clock cycles as we have $n$ elements in $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$. In the two parallel architecture we get two values at each clock cycle, i.e. $\sigma\left(\alpha^{i}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(\alpha^{i+1}\right)$. So the we need $n / 2$ clock cycles as the output of each cell is $\sigma_{j}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{j}$ and $\sigma_{j}\left(\alpha^{i+1}\right)^{j}$ as shown in figure 4.13.


Figure 4.13: Two Parallel Chien search $(t=8)$

The two parallel Chien search cell has four finite field multipliers by constant [21] one of them multiplies by $\alpha^{i}$ and the others multiply by $\left(\alpha^{i}\right)^{2}$. We need the multiplier which multiplies by $\alpha^{i}$ in the first clock cycle only then we need the others multipliers as shown in figure 4.15.


Figure 4.14: Two Parallel Chien search cell

### 4.3.3 The new configurable architecture

The Chien search can be configured to work either in two parallel mode or in serial mode and that's by three finite field multipliers only so the number of multipliers is reduced than the two parallel architecture [21] as shown in figure 4.15.


Figure 4.15: Configurable Chien search cell

To activate the cell in the two parallel mode with latency equals to $\frac{n}{2}$ clock cycles, set the selection lines sel1, sel2, and sel3 to low in $1^{\text {st }}$ clock cycles then set them to high, but to activate the cell in serial mode with latency equals to $n$ clock cycles, set the selection line sel1 to low in $1^{\text {st }}$ clock cycles then set it to high, while the selection lines sel2 and sel3 are always low.

### 4.4 Architecture of Forney Algorithm

### 4.4.1 Serial architecture

The main function of the Forney algorithm is to get the error values from equation 3.12. But from equation 3.12 and 4.6 we can rewrite equation 3.12 as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j_{l}}=\frac{W\left(\beta_{l}^{-1}\right) \beta_{l}^{-1}}{\sigma_{\text {odd }}\left(\beta_{l}^{-1}\right)} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\beta_{l}^{-1}$ is the root of $\sigma(x)$ so in the architecture of forney algorithm we need inverse ROM to get the inverse of the $\sigma_{\text {odd }}\left(\beta_{l}^{-1}\right)$ and a look up table (LUT) to get $\beta_{l}^{-1}$ at each location but we replaced the LUT by a specific cell takes an integer number $i$ and its output is $\alpha^{i}$ which reduces the area significantly as shown in figure 4.16. The serial Forney block takes $n$ clock cycles to produce $n$ error values as in each clock cycle we have one value for $W\left(\beta_{l}^{-1}\right)$ and $\sigma_{\text {odd }}\left(\beta_{l}^{-1}\right)$.


Figure 4.16: Serial Forney architecture

### 4.4.2 Two Parallel architecture

In the two parallel architecture two error values can be computed in each clock cycle as in this case we have two values for $W\left(\beta_{l}^{-1}\right)$ and $\sigma_{o d d}\left(\beta_{l}^{-1}\right)$ so the architecture will be like figure 4.17. So the two parallel architecture tackles $n / 2$ clock cycles to calculate the $n$ error values.


Figure 4.17: Two parallel Forney architecture

### 4.4.3 The new configurable architecture

Forney algorithm architecture can be configured easily by using the two parallel architecture. If we enable the two paths, we have two parallel architecture. If we disable one of them, we have serial architecture.

### 4.5 Multi-Channel Decoder

### 4.5.1 Multi-Channel using serial architectures

As the latency of Euclidean algorithm block equals to $2 t$ clock cycles so in $\operatorname{RS}(255,239)$ we can share the key equation solver with 16 channels with serial architectures as shown in figure 4.18. So the maximum throughput per channel equals to $\frac{\mathrm{n} * \# \text { of bits per symbol }}{\mathrm{n}} * F_{\text {max }}$ bit per second (pbs), where number of bits per symbol equals to 8 and $F_{\max }$ is the maximum operating frequency of the decoder..


Figure 4.18: 16 Channel $(255,239)$ RS decoder using serial architectures

### 4.5.2 Multi-Channels using two parallel architectures

Also Euclidean block can be shared between 8 channels and each of them is two parallel architecture so the maximum throughput equals to $\frac{2 * n * 8}{n} * F_{\text {max }}$ bps. So the throughput per channel is doubled but the number of channels is reduced to 8 channels as shown in figure 4.19.


Figure 4.19: 8 Channels $(255,239)$ RS decoder using two parallel architectures

### 4.5.3 Multi-Channel using configurable architectures

We use the configurable syndrome, Chien search, and Forney algorithm architectures in configurable multi-channels to share the Euclidean algorithm between 16 channels, half of them are serial architectures and the others are configurable. By this architecture we can configure the architecture to be shared between 16 serial channels or between 8 two parallel channels as shown in figure 4.20.


Figure 4.20: 8 Channels $(255,239)$ RS decoder configurable and serial architectures

### 4.6 Results and Comparisons

### 4.6.1 Results of configurable $(255,239)$ RS decoder

The proposed configurable architecture is modeled in VHDL and simulated to verify its functionality. After complete verification of the design functionality, it was then synthesized using appropriate time and area constraints. Both simulation and synthesis steps were carried out on $0.13 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ CMOS technology and optimized for a 1.35 V supply voltage, we used this technology to make our comparison fair with the previously published architectures. The total number of gates of single channel decoder using configurable cells is 49,000 from the synthesized results excluding the FIFO memory, and the maximum clock frequency is 500 MHz . The total power dissipation for the multi-channel decoder is 568.4 mW . The latency of the architecture is 249 ns which leads to an energy per symbol of 8.84 nJ .

Table 4.1: Implementation Results of single channel RS(255, 239) Decoders

| Architecture | Technology <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Total \# <br> of Gates | Max. Freq. <br> $(M H z)$ | Latency <br> $(\mathrm{clocks})$ | Latency <br> $(\mathrm{ns})$ | Throughput <br> $(\mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed | 0.13 | 49,000 | 500 | 146 | 294 | 8,000 |
| ME [23] | 0.13 | 115,500 | 770 | 355 | 461 | 6,160 |
| pDCME [24] | 0.13 | 53,200 | 660 | 355 | 537.9 | 5,300 |
| EA [25] | 0.13 | 44,700 | 300 | 287 | 956.7 | 2,400 |
| ME [26] | 0.18 | 20,614 | 400 | 512 | 1280 | 3,200 |
| DCME [27] | 0.25 | 42,213 | 200 | 288 | 1440 | 1,600 |
| BM [28] | 0.25 | 32,900 | 84 | 192 | 2285.7 | 2,500 |

Table 4.2: Implementation Results of Multi-Channel RS Decoders

| Architecture | Technology <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Total \# <br> of Gates | Max. Freq. <br> $(M H z)$ | Latency <br> $($ clocks $)$ | Latency <br> $(\mathrm{ns})$ | Throughput <br> $(\mathrm{Gb} / \mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8-Two parallel channels | 0.13 | 200,000 | 500 | 146 | 294 | 64 |
| 16-Serial channels | 0.13 | 200,000 | 500 | 274 | 548 | 64 |
| PrME [29] | 0.13 | 393,000 | 625 | 522 | 830 | 80 |
| ME [23] | 0.13 | 589,000 | 625 | 355 | 570 | 80 |
| ME [30] | 0.16 | 364,000 | 112 | 168 | 1500 | 40 |

### 4.6.2 Comparisons

Table 4.1 shows a comparison between different architectures of $\operatorname{RS}(255,239)$ decoders. The Modified Euclidean (ME) algorithm is used in [26]. The results show that [26] made a modification to the euclidean algorithm to have an area efficient architecture so its area is lower than our architecture. But it has higher latency and lower throughput. The Degree Computationless Modified Euclidean (DCME) architecture is used in [24] and [27] to reduce the gate count compared to ME [23]. However, pDCME [24] has a larger area compared to our proposal and also higher maximum frequency, but it has higher latency and lower throughput. While the area of [27] is lower than our proposal, but it has lower throughput and higher latency. The Euclidean Algorithm is used in [25]. It uses the same architecture but it is implemented on different technology and it has lower area and lower latency and throughput. The Modified Berlekamp-Massey (MBM) algorithm is used in [28]. This algorithm is used to reduce the latency in clock cycles and also to reduce the power consumption ( 68.5 mW at 84 MHz ), which is lower then our power per channel which is 108 mW . But this architecture has higher latency than our proposal in $n s$ which make our proposal has lower energy per symbol.

Table 4.2 shows a comparison between different architectures of 16 channel
$\mathrm{RS}(255,239)$ decoders. The proposed architecture is a compromise between the Pipelined recursive Modified Euclidean (PrME) [29], ME [23], and ME [30] in throughput, but our proposal has the least area and latency.

### 4.7 Conclusion

In This chapter an architecture for a low energy area efficient configurable syndrome/Chien search $\operatorname{RS}(255,239)$ decoder is presented. In our proposal configurable syndrome and Chien search cells are designed to work in two modes. The first mode is the serial mode as each of them needs $n$ clock cycles to finish. The second mode is the two parallel mode as they need $\frac{n}{2}$ clock cycles to finish which double the rate of the serial mode and decrease the energy per symbol. We used the Euclidean algorithm as a KES to give our decoder a chance to serve 16 channels to have higher throughput. The configurable cells makes the decoder works over 16 or 8 channels by duplication of the throughput of each channel without extra hardware which saves the area. Our architecture has high throughput with low power consumption and optimum area.

## Chapter 5

## CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS

### 5.1 Conclusions

In this thesis a new architecture for $\operatorname{RS}(255,239)$ decoder has been proposed by designing a new configurable syndrome and Chien search cells with Euclidean algorithm as a key equation solver. Using these configurable cells the decoder can work in two modes, one of them is serial mode and the other mode is two parallel mode. The proposed architecture has been simulated using VHDL and verified using MATLAB. This architecture is used in multi-channel decoder to serve 8 or 16 channels as the syndrome and Chien search blocks can be configured to work in serial mode or two parallel mode.

The decoder is synthesized on $0.13 \mu m$ CMOS IBM library and 1.35 V power supply. We used this technology to give the ability to compare between our architecture and other architectures. The total number of gates of single channel decoder using the proposed cells is 49,000 from the synthesized results excluding the FIFO memory, and the maximum clock frequency is 500 MHz . The total power dissipation for the multi-channel decoder is 568.4 mW . The latency of the architecture is 249 ns which leads to an energy per symbol of 8.84 nJ .

As RS is non-binary linear cyclic code and operates over GF $\left(2^{m}\right)$ so in Chapter 2 , the basic theory of finite fields has been introduced and also a good overview on other algebraic structures is introduced. Also three types of finite field multipliers have been introduced and a good overview on binary and non-binary cyclic codes is introduced.

Then in Chapter 3, the RS decoder block diagram is introduced as a mathe-
matical concept and three types of decoding algorithms are introduced and a good comparison between these algorithms also are introduced.

Finally in Chapter 4, the hardware implementation of the decoder is introduced and a comparison between the proposed design and the previous work is introduced.

### 5.2 Future Works

In this thesis, the decoder is simulated before and after synthesis but it is not implemented to see its performance in a real application. So the next step is to convert the VHDL code to ASIC and put it an a real application to see its performance. Also the new configurable cells can be tested with another key equation solver like Modified Euclidean algorithm [23] or Reformulated inversionless Berlekamp Massey (RiBM) [31] algorithm to see the performance of these cells. Also the Reed-Solomon codes can be concatenated with convolutional code to enhance the ability of correcting random errors. Because RS codes detects the error first by using syndrome calculation block and convolutional code corrects the error directly without detection [2], some relations can be made between the two codes to save the energy of the decoder, hence saving the battery of the receiver.
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## Appendix A

## The list of primitive polynomials $p(x)$ for $\mathbf{m} \leq 10$

| $m$ | $p(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $1+x+x^{3}$ |
| 4 | $1+x+x^{4}$ |
| 5 | $1+x^{2}+x^{5}$ |
| 6 | $1+x+x^{6}$ |
| 7 | $1+x+x^{7}$ |
| 8 | $1+x^{2}+x^{3}+x^{4}+x^{8}$ |
| 9 | $1+x^{4}+x^{9}$ |
| 10 | $1+x^{3}+x^{10}$ |
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## Appendix B

## Conversions from standard basis to Normal basis in GF ( $2^{4}$ )

| power of $\alpha$ | Standard basis <br> $1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}$ | Normal basis <br> $\alpha^{3}, \alpha^{6}, \alpha^{12}, \alpha^{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 0000 | 0000 |
| 0 | 1000 | 1111 |
| 1 | 0100 | 1001 |
| 2 | 0010 | 1100 |
| 3 | 0001 | 1000 |
| 4 | 1100 | 0110 |
| 5 | 0110 | 0101 |
| 6 | 0011 | 0100 |
| 7 | 1101 | 1110 |
| 8 | 1010 | 0011 |
| 9 | 0101 | 0001 |
| 10 | 1110 | 1010 |
| 11 | 0111 | 1101 |
| 12 | 1111 | 0010 |
| 13 | 1011 | 1011 |
| 14 | 1001 | 0111 |

